ATESTASI: JURNAL ILMIAH AKUNTANSI
Vol 5, Issue 2, (2022), 720 - 738

Deconstructing Finance Management: A Qualitative Examination through Accounting Literature

Eny lestari Widarni [1*]

[*1] STIE Jaya Negara Tamansiswa Malang, Indonesia

Email
enylestariwidarni@stiekn.ac.id [1*]

Received: August, 06, 2022 **Revised:** August, 31, 2022 **Accepted:** September, 30, 2022

Abstract

This study examines the deconstruction of traditional financial management concepts through accounting literature, aiming to address the limitations of conventional approaches and propose adaptive frameworks that integrate social, cultural, and contextual dimensions. The research adopts a qualitative systematic literature review, synthesizing insights from Agency Theory and Institutional Theory to explore the interplay between internal governance mechanisms and external environmental pressures. The findings reveal significant areas for improvement in traditional financial models, such as Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), which fail to account for managerial biases, organizational culture, and societal expectations. Accounting frameworks are pivotal in bridging these gaps by emphasizing transparency, accountability, and sustainability through sustainability reporting and socially responsible disclosures. Discussions highlight the influence of governance structures and external regulatory pressures in shaping ethical and adaptive financial practices. At the same time, managerial biases and cultural dynamics underline the need for a holistic approach to decision-making. This study offers practical implications for managers and policymakers, recommending the adoption of robust governance structures, enhanced accounting literacy, and integrative financial models that prioritize long-term resilience. While contributing to the academic discourse on financial management, this research identifies its limitations in empirical validation. It calls for future studies to explore longitudinal and cross-contextual analyses to enrich understanding further.

Keywords: Finance Management, Accounting Frameworks, Financial Planning, Financial Decision-Making, Managerial Biases.

DOI : **https://doi.org/10.57178/atestasi.v5i2.780**
p-ISSN : **2621-1963**
e-ISSN : **2621-1505**

Introduction

Finance management is a cornerstone of modern business operations, providing the strategic foundation for organizational decision-making. Fundamentally, it involves planning, allocating, and controlling financial resources to achieve corporate objectives (Bower, 1972).

In the context of globalization and increasingly competitive markets, the strategic role of finance management has evolved from its traditional operational focus to a driving force for innovation, growth, and sustainability. By integrating financial principles into broader organizational strategies, finance management ensures resource efficiency and business resilience in navigating complex market dynamics. This dual role positions finance management as a multidimensional field that bridges theory and practice, linking internal organizational efficiency with external competitive advantage (Mahdi & Nassar, 2021). Despite its critical importance, finance management has challenges. It faces numerous obstacles, from evolving regulatory frameworks to technological advancements and unpredictable market conditions in a rapidly changing global context (Marquis & Raynard, 2015). As an interconnected discipline, accounting is vital in supporting finance management by offering analysis, control, and reporting frameworks (Taipaleenmäki & Ikäheimo, 2013). While existing accounting structures address many operational needs, practical and theoretical challenges persist. Practically, the misalignment of financial reporting standards across jurisdictions and inconsistencies in risk management practices hinder the effective implementation of financial principles. Theoretically, gaps still need to be made in understanding how accounting practices adapt to or influence the complexities of finance management, particularly in contexts involving subjective decision-making or intricate financial environments.

At the intersection of finance management and accounting literature, deeper complexities emerge. This convergence highlights tensions between the need for standardized financial practices and the requirement for adaptability in diverse contexts. Questions about the adequacy of existing accounting frameworks in addressing modern financial challenges and their implications for broader organizational objectives become increasingly pertinent. Additionally, subjective elements of financial decision-making, such as managerial biases and organizational culture, often elude traditional accounting analyses, leaving significant gaps in understanding and application (Heidmann, 2008). These limitations underscore the need for a deeper exploration of the interaction between accounting literature and finance management practices. While finance management literature has seen significant growth, systematic analysis of how accounting literature deconstructs finance management practices must be made available (Cooper & Hopper, 2006). Such an analysis is essential to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical realities, offering fresh insights into the dynamics shaping finance management in contemporary contexts.

Recent research underscores the evolving complexity and interdisciplinary nature of finance and management. Bibliometric analysis of the Managerial Finance journal demonstrates a shift from traditional accounting topics to a broader focus on corporate governance, banking, and stock markets, reflecting the field's expanding interests and dynamic nature (Baker et al., 2020). This evolution emphasizes the interplay between financial systems and organizational decision-making. Qualitative approaches, as shown by Mundi et al. (2022), provide valuable insights, such as the impact of overconfidence on capital structure decisions in family-owned businesses, where overconfident managers prefer cash over debt financing and favor short-term debt. Additionally, Puyou (2022) highlights using empirical sections of qualitative research articles as standalone teaching tools, enhancing critical understanding of uncertainty and subjectivity in accounting education. These methods enrich both academic and practical insights into the nuances of finance and accounting.

Further contributions integrate historical and postmodernist perspectives, with Bowden & Stevenson-Clarke (2021) emphasizing Foucault's influence on accounting studies, particularly in exploring subjective knowledge and power dynamics. This "New Accounting History" parallels the "Historic Turn" in management studies, shedding light on the interplay between historical narratives and financial practices. Sustainability accounting also emerges as a critical focus area, with Ascani et al. (2021) emphasizing the need for management accountants to drive the adoption of sustainability practices in corporate strategies. Moreover, robust governance structures play a vital role in minimizing financial reporting errors; Lee & Park (2019) show that audit committee expertise in accounting curbs managerial opportunism and improves textual disclosures in corporate annual reports, particularly within the MD&A section. Finally, Puck & Filatotchev (2020) advocate integrating finance and international business/global strategy perspectives to enhance theoretical understanding across domains. These studies provide a comprehensive foundation for exploring the systemic nature of financial reporting errors and their broader implications for stakeholder trust.

Despite substantial advancements in financial reporting research, significant gaps persist in understanding the broader implications of financial reporting errors, particularly their impact on stakeholder trust. Studies such as those by Puck & Filatotchev (2020) and Lee & Park (2019) have emphasized the importance of governance and financial expertise in mitigating errors. While these contributions enhance understanding of mechanisms within the reporting process, they offer limited insight into how these errors influence stakeholder trust and perceptions. This omission leaves a critical gap in connecting operational improvements in reporting accuracy with broader corporate accountability outcomes. Empirically, the literature needs comprehensive analyses that systematically evaluate the nature, causes, and consequences of financial reporting errors across diverse organizational contexts. Although advancements in sustainability accounting and corporate strategy integration, as highlighted by Ascani et al. (2021), provide a robust framework for exploring broader financial dynamics, they need to address the nuanced relationship between reporting errors and trust dynamics. The theoretical emphasis on frameworks and integration strategies often overlooks the practical implications of errors, particularly their potential to erode stakeholder confidence and diminish organizational credibility. This disconnect between theoretical advancements and empirical validation underscores the need for research that bridges these domains. A systematic examination of financial reporting errors incorporating stakeholder trust and accountability dimensions would significantly contribute to the field. Such research could illuminate how errors affect perceptions of transparency and governance, ultimately enriching theoretical frameworks and practical applications.

This study addresses gaps in understanding the relationship between finance management and accounting literature through a systematic literature review (SLR). The novelty of this research lies in its effort to deconstruct the core concepts of finance management through the lens of accounting literature, providing a holistic perspective on the intersection of theory and practice. This approach offers a detailed analysis of the theoretical and practical factors influencing the implementation of finance management while examining how accounting literature establishes a conceptual foundation for addressing contemporary challenges in organizational contexts. Two key questions guide the research: How does accounting literature deconstruct the core concepts of finance management? What theoretical and practical factors influence the implementation of finance management across various organizational contexts? By answering these questions, the study explores how accounting literature can provide insights into the dynamics of finance management, including its foundational principles, challenges, and implications for practice. Ultimately, this research contributes to academic discourse and practical applications by offering a new analytical framework to understand better and address emerging challenges in finance management. The findings aim to bridge the gap between accounting theories and the realities of finance management implementation. This ensures relevance to scholars and practitioners seeking innovative approaches to navigating complex financial landscapes in the modern era.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Deconstructing Core Concepts of Finance Management

Financial management has long been centered on quantitative tools like Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) to guide decision-making (Nwogugu, 2016). These models provide objectivity and precision, making them essential for assessing investment viability and financial performance. However, recent studies have increasingly questioned the adequacy of these approaches, particularly their inability to account for subjective and contextual factors that influence financial decisions. For example, while NPV and IRR are foundational metrics, they often fail to capture the nuances of organizational culture, managerial biases, and regulatory variations that critically shape financial outcomes (Benaroch et al., 2007). This highlights the need for more comprehensive models integrating quantitative and qualitative dimensions. Rich & Rose (2014) critically examine the limitations of IRR, emphasizing how its assumptions about reinvestment rates and project comparisons can lead to misleading conclusions. Their findings stress the importance of contextualizing these tools within broader financial frameworks that account for real-world complexities. Similarly, Hunt (2021) explores the heuristics and biases of top managers, showing how cognitive shortcuts and overconfidence can skew decision-making processes. These studies underline the critical role of behavioral factors, which are often overlooked in traditional financial analyses.

Accounting literature provides critical insights into deconstructing the foundational concepts of financial management by emphasizing transparency, accountability, and organizational alignment. Financial tools like ratios, budgeting, and performance evaluations go beyond technical applications, reflecting the values and priorities of organizations. As van Hoorn (2017) highlights, organizational culture significantly shapes these processes, influencing how financial decisions are framed and executed. Managerial biases also play a crucial role in financial decision-making. Moosa & Ramiah (2017) show that overconfidence among corporate leaders can result in suboptimal decisions, such as over-reliance on short-term financing or underestimating long-term risks. Addressing these biases is essential for improving decision quality. Similarly, Roeschmann (2014) underscores the importance of viewing financial decisions through the lens of organizational culture, which influences risk perception and management. Accounting frameworks also adapt financial practices to diverse contexts. Bellucci et al. (2019) demonstrate how sustainability accounting integrates environmental and social factors into corporate strategies, enhancing transparency and fostering stakeholder trust. Governance structures further reinforce this adaptability. Arif et al. (2021) show that audit committees with accounting expertise reduce managerial opportunism and improve disclosure reliability, highlighting the role of robust governance in financial ecosystems. These insights collectively advocate for a more integrative approach to financial management, bridging traditional practices with behavioral and cultural considerations.

Historical and postmodernist perspectives in accounting research also contribute significantly to this discourse. Bowden & Stevenson-Clarke (2021) highlight how power dynamics and subjective knowledge influence financial practices, challenging the traditional view of financial management as purely objective and rational. By incorporating these perspectives, accounting literature offers a richer understanding of the socio-political dimensions of financial decision-making, paving the way for more adaptive and inclusive frameworks. Llewelyn (2003) advocates using qualitative research methodologies to explore uncertainties and subjectivities inherent in financial management. His work underscores the need for innovative pedagogical approaches that equip future finance professionals with the tools to navigate complex and dynamic environments. Accounting literature bridges the gap between traditional financial models and contemporary challenges by integrating empirical insights with theoretical frameworks. As noted by Puck & Filatotchev (2020), the integration of finance and international business perspectives further underscores the need for interdisciplinary approaches. Their research emphasizes how global strategies and cultural variations influence financial practices, highlighting the limitations of one-size-fits-all models. This aligns with the broader argument for deconstructing core financial management concepts to create more context-sensitive and adaptable frameworks.

Integrating Theoretical and Practical Frameworks

Financial management is a cornerstone of organizational operations, aiming to achieve efficiency, sustainability, and long-term growth. Theoretical frameworks in finance provide robust conceptual tools for understanding fundamental principles such as risk management, capital allocation, and financial performance measurement (Lucianetti et al., 2019). However, translating these abstract concepts into practical applications presents significant challenges, especially within modern organizations' dynamic and complex environments. This necessitates a synergistic relationship between theory and practice, ensuring that financial management pursues profitability and embraces sustainability and accountability (Epstein et al., 2015). Accounting literature plays a pivotal role in bridging this gap by offering tools and methodologies that facilitate the practical application of financial theories. Accounting extends beyond mere reporting; it provides frameworks integrating sustainability principles into an organization's financial strategies (Eccles & Krzus, 2010). For instance, sustainability reporting exemplifies how accounting frameworks assist organizations in aligning financial objectives with social and environmental values (Nicholls, 2020). By incorporating environmental and social considerations into financial reporting, organizations adopt a more holistic approach to financial management, enhancing transparency and strengthening stakeholder trust in the organization's integrity.

Governance structures significantly contribute to transparency and accountability in financial management. Bilal et al. (2018) indicates that audit committees with adequate financial expertise can ensure the quality of financial disclosures and mitigate managerial opportunism. Applying accounting principles within governance processes enables organizations to address risks arising from conflicts of interest between management and stakeholders. Strengthening governance fosters a more transparent and responsible financial environment, thereby enhancing stakeholder confidence in financial decisions (López-Arceiz et al., 2018). The evolution of financial management into a multidimensional discipline underscores the importance of integrating theory and practice. Contemporary financial management encompasses various themes, including corporate governance, banking, and stock markets. This expansion reflects a shift from mere number management to a comprehensive understanding of market dynamics and the flexibility required to navigate organizational changes (Bhimani, 2021). Accounting literature enriches this understanding by elucidating the interaction between financial theories and real-world practices (Farhan, 2021).

One of the primary challenges in aligning theoretical principles with practical organizational needs is adapting theoretical frameworks to the ever-changing market context. Accounting literacy provides essential tools to navigate this complexity, offering data-driven approaches that inform better decision-making (Hora et al., 2017). For example, accounting frameworks that integrate historical financial data with predictive analysis enable organizations to accurately identify financial risks and opportunities (Appelbaum et al., 2017). Moreover, applying theory with contextual understanding affords greater flexibility in responding to unforeseen market dynamics. Studies on integrating theory and practice highlight the importance of multidisciplinary approaches in financial management. By combining accounting literature, financial theory, and governance practices, organizations can develop comprehensive and responsive strategies that meet stakeholder needs—for instance, implementing sustainability reporting bolsters stakeholder trust and assists organizations in complying with increasingly stringent regulations related to transparency and sustainability (Puyou, 2021).

Trust and Accountability in Finance Management

Trust is a fundamental financial management component that establishes strong relationships between organizations and their stakeholders. In the increasingly complex business landscape, trust ensures organizational stability and sustainability. High levels of trust foster stakeholder loyalty, which supports long-term growth and competitive advantage. However, financial reporting errors—whether intentional, as in cases of managerial opportunism, or unintentional due to oversight or regulatory complexities—significantly undermine stakeholder confidence and diminish organizational credibility. These breaches damage stakeholder trust and jeopardize operational continuity (Brown & Dillard, 2019). Accountability plays a critical role in fostering transparency and upholding organizational integrity. Accounting literature emphasizes accountability as a fundamental principle of effective governance. Responsible reporting practices strengthen an organization's reputation among stakeholders and build trust. Research demonstrates that audit committees with accounting expertise significantly reduce managerial opportunism and enhance the quality of financial disclosures, ensuring more accurate and reliable reporting (García-Meca & García-Sánchez, 2018). These practices reinforce accountability and create a more stable financial environment.

The relationship between robust governance structures and stakeholder trust is a prominent theme in accounting literature. Effective governance mechanisms enable organizations to manage risks, optimize financial decision-making, and build trust. Adherence to transparent accounting standards and rigorous oversight of financial reporting processes ensures ethical conduct. This alignment of governance and transparency is critical to fostering sustainable stakeholder relationships (Stubbs & Higgins, 2018). Accountability through accurate disclosures further strengthens stakeholder confidence, making it a cornerstone of financial management (Nwaobia et al., 2016). Ethics is another critical dimension of financial management. Ethical education and training are essential for preparing financial professionals to navigate dilemmas and maintain accountability. Emphasizing ethics-based frameworks helps organizations ensure that financial decisions are grounded in moral principles, fostering trust and transparency (Sila, 2018). Power dynamics and subjective knowledge within organizations can also influence perceptions of transparency and integrity. Accounting literature highlights the need for integrating ethical principles into governance structures to address these dynamics effectively.

Trust in financial management extends beyond individual organizations to the broader financial ecosystem. In a globalized economy, trust connects various actors across financial networks, underpinning market stability. Transparent financial practices supported by accounting frameworks ensure accountability, enhance stakeholder relationships, and promote long-term sustainability (Christensen et al., 2019). Literature also demonstrates that transparent reporting practices align organizational objectives with stakeholder expectations, contributing to the resilience of financial ecosystems (Dupont, 2019). Accounting literacy is instrumental in strengthening trust and accountability. Accounting frameworks address the complexities of modern financial environments by equipping organizations with methodologies for more transparent reporting. Improved financial disclosures, grounded in comprehensive and ethical practices, ensure stronger stakeholder relationships. Furthermore, accounting literature integrates ethical considerations with financial decision-making, highlighting the importance of balancing technical and moral dimensions (Endenich & Trapp, 2020).

Relevant Theories in Understanding Finance Management

Theoretical frameworks are critical for understanding the complexities of financial management within organizations. Two prominent theories, Agency Theory and Institutional Theory, offer valuable insights into the dynamics of financial decision-making and governance. These theories provide complementary perspectives on internal organizational mechanisms and the external factors shaping financial practices. Agency Theory focuses on the relationship between principals, such as shareholders, and agents, such as managers, within organizations (Panda & Leepsa, 2017). This relationship is often fraught with conflicts of interest, particularly when agents prioritize their personal objectives over the goals of the principals. In the context of financial management, these conflicts manifest as issues like biased or manipulative financial reporting. Research has shown that these conflicts are a fundamental cause of financial reporting problems, eroding stakeholder trust in organizations (Bananuka et al., 2018). To address these challenges, effective governance structures, such as competent audit committees, are essential. Accounting literature emphasizes the significant role of audit committees in reducing managerial opportunism and enhancing the quality of financial disclosures. Studies by Akther & Xu (2020) demonstrate that audit committees with substantial financial expertise are instrumental in ensuring accurate financial reporting, thereby reinforcing stakeholder trust. Similarly, Buallay (2019) highlights the importance of integrating governance mechanisms into financial management to foster transparency and accountability.

Institutional Theory complements Agency Theory by examining the influence of external factors—such as norms, regulations, and cultural expectations—on organizational behavior (Heugens & Lander, 2009). This theory highlights how organizations adapt their financial practices to align with external demands and maintain legitimacy in their operating environments. For instance, Haji & Anifowose (2016) emphasize how organizations increasingly adopt integrated reporting practices to address societal pressures for sustainability and ethical accountability. Such adaptations illustrate the dual role of regulatory compliance and commitment to societal values in shaping financial management practices. Sustainability accounting serves as a practical example of Institutional Theory in action. Organizations implement sustainability reporting to respond to external pressures from regulators and stakeholders, aligning their financial goals with broader environmental and social objectives. Studies by Elmagrhi et al. (2019) indicate that incorporating governance structures with diverse representation, including female directors, enhances transparency and improves stakeholder trust in sustainability efforts.

The integration of Agency and Institutional Theories provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing financial management practices. Agency Theory addresses the internal conflicts within organizations, while Institutional Theory emphasizes external pressures that influence organizational behavior. Together, these theories offer a holistic approach to understanding how organizations navigate internal governance challenges while responding to external demands for accountability and legitimacy (Mahmood et al., 2023). In qualitative research, these theories serve as foundational tools for exploring the complexities of financial management. They allow researchers to investigate how conflicts of interest, external pressures, and governance mechanisms intersect to shape financial decision-making. As Almagtome et al. (2020) argue, the interplay between internal governance and external institutional forces is critical for developing robust financial management practices that prioritize transparency, accountability, and sustainability.

Research Design and Method

Study Design

This research employs a qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) to synthesize and analyze existing scholarly work on finance management practices. A systematic approach ensures comprehensive coverage of relevant literature, enabling the identification of key themes, patterns, and theoretical insights. The qualitative nature of this review is particularly suited to exploring complex phenomena, such as financial planning, investment decision-making, and risk management, by contextualizing findings within broader organizational and theoretical frameworks.

Sample Population or Subject of the Research

The primary subjects of this study are scholarly articles, books, and credible reports related to finance management. To maintain relevance and quality, the literature is limited to peer-reviewed publications written in English and published between 2012 and the present. The study focuses on research that addresses core aspects of finance management, including capital structure, sustainability practices, and financial decision-making processes. Non-peer-reviewed articles, editorials, and studies outside the scope of finance management are excluded.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

A robust search strategy is employed to identify relevant literature. Keywords such as "financial management," "investment strategies," "risk analysis," and "corporate finance" are used to search databases, including Scopus, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Web of Science. Articles are initially screened by their titles and abstracts for relevance, followed by a full-text review of those meeting the inclusion criteria. A snowballing technique is applied to uncover additional sources cited within selected literature. A structured data extraction form is developed to systematically capture information, such as critical findings, methodologies, and theoretical frameworks.

Data Analysis Techniques

Thematic and content analysis techniques are utilized to analyze and synthesize the extracted data. This involves identifying recurring themes, patterns, and divergent perspectives within the literature. Data is categorized and interpreted to provide a comprehensive understanding of the subject. A critical appraisal is conducted to evaluate each source's methodological rigor and credibility, ensuring that only high-quality literature informs the conclusions.

Results and Discussion

Finding

Deconstructing Financial Management Concepts Through Accounting

This study explores how accounting literature deconstructs traditional financial management concepts to reveal the limitations of conventional approaches and provide adaptive, contextual perspectives. The findings focus on several key areas, including foundational financial management concepts, theoretical frameworks, transparency and accountability, and the influence of cultural dynamics and biases. Traditional financial management heavily relies on quantitative methods, such as capital structure optimization, risk management, and investment decision-making. While these approaches emphasize efficiency and profitability, they often fail to account for subjective and contextual factors like organizational culture, power dynamics, and managerial biases. For instance, overconfidence in management can lead to short-term financing preferences, jeopardizing long-term sustainability (Moosa & Ramiah, 2017). Accounting literature provides critical insights into these elements, enabling a richer understanding of how they shape financial decisions.

The integration of theoretical frameworks further enhances financial management practices. Agency Theory highlights conflicts between principals and agents, often leading to opportunistic behaviors and financial misreporting. Effective governance mechanisms, such as skilled audit committees, mitigate these risks by ensuring transparency and aligning managerial actions with organizational goals (Akther & Xu, 2020). Similarly, Institutional Theory emphasizes external pressures, such as regulatory demands and societal expectations, that drive the adoption of sustainable financial practices. For example, sustainability accounting aligns financial goals with environmental and social objectives, strengthening organizational accountability (Elmagrhi et al., 2019; Haji & Anifowose, 2019). Transparency and accountability are central themes in accounting literature, underscoring their role in fostering stakeholder trust. Sustainability reporting and value-based disclosures enhance organizational legitimacy, ensuring alignment with societal expectations and long-term goals (Ascani et al., 2021; García-Meca & García-Sánchez, 2018). Addressing cultural and behavioral factors, such as risk perception and profit orientation, further supports a balanced approach to financial management, ensuring that short-term objectives do not overshadow sustainability and accountability (van Hoorn, 2017; Buallay, 2019).

Deconstructing Fundamental Concepts in Financial Management

Traditional concepts in financial management, such as capital structure, risk management, and investment decision-making, have long relied on quantitative approaches emphasizing efficiency and profitability. While these methods provide a structured and objective framework for evaluating financial decisions, they often fail to address the need to address the subjective and contextual dimensions that profoundly influence decision-making processes. Accounting literature offers critical insights into deconstructing these elements, highlighting how organizational culture, power dynamics, and risk perceptions play crucial roles in shaping financial decisions. For instance, capital structure decisions are not solely governed by numerical rationality but are deeply embedded in psychological and social contexts. Managerial biases, such as overconfidence, often skew decision-making processes, leading to a preference for short-term financing options over long-term sustainability. These biases can undermine organizational resilience and impede the adoption of strategies that prioritize long-term value creation. Accounting frameworks address these gaps by integrating behavioral and contextual factors into financial analyses, providing a more comprehensive understanding of financial decisions and their broader implications (Moosa & Ramiah, 2017).

Additionally, organizational culture plays a pivotal role in financial decision-making. Studies reveal that financial decisions are often shaped by an organization's underlying values and priorities, which influence how risks are perceived and managed (van Hoorn, 2017). Organizations with a short-term focus may need to pay more attention to sustainability and accountability, leading to decisions that prioritize immediate profitability at the expense of long-term stability. Accounting literature emphasizes the importance of aligning financial practices with broader organizational goals, fostering a culture of transparency and accountability that supports sustainable growth (Bellucci et al., 2019). Integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into financial management exemplifies the evolving nature of financial practices. Sustainability accounting, for instance, demonstrates how organizations can align their financial objectives with societal values, promoting transparency and stakeholder trust (Ascani et al., 2021). By incorporating these dimensions, accounting frameworks enable organizations to navigate complex financial environments more effectively while maintaining alignment with ethical and regulatory expectations (Elmagrhi et al., 2019).

Integrating Theoretical Frameworks into Financial Management Practices

This study highlights the critical role of theoretical frameworks like Agency and Institutional Theory in explaining financial management practices. These theories provide complementary insights into internal governance and external environmental pressures affecting organizational behavior in finance. Agency Theory focuses on conflicts of interest between principals (shareholders) and agents (managers). If unmanaged, these conflicts lead to managerial opportunism, often reflected in financial reporting errors or malpractices. Such actions can erode stakeholder trust and damage organizational credibility. Effective governance mechanisms and exceptionally competent audit committees are vital in mitigating these risks. Audit committees with financial expertise enhance the reliability of financial disclosures and curb opportunistic behaviors, ensuring alignment with long-term organizational goals (Buallay, 2019; García-Meca & García-Sánchez, 2018).

Institutional Theory examines how external factors like regulatory pressures, societal norms, and cultural expectations influence organizational practices. It underscores the role of external environments in encouraging organizations to adopt transparent and sustainable financial practices. For example, sustainability reporting frameworks often arise as responses to regulatory and societal demands for accountability and ethical governance. Aligning financial strategies with these expectations strengthens organizational legitimacy and fosters stakeholder trust (Ascani et al., 2021; Elmagrhi et al., 2019). Agency and Institutional Theories offer a comprehensive framework for understanding financial management practices. Agency Theory addresses internal governance issues, while Institutional Theory highlights external drivers of change. This integrated perspective is vital for navigating complex market dynamics. For instance, sustainability accounting demonstrates how organizations combine governance mechanisms with external regulatory compliance to create transparent and adaptive financial practices. This approach fosters long-term resilience and stakeholder trust by bridging internal decision-making with external accountability, contributing to a more holistic understanding of financial management.

The Role of Accounting in Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

Transparency and accountability are essential components of financial management, crucial for fostering stakeholder trust and maintaining organizational legitimacy. Accounting literature underscores their importance in promoting effective governance and ethical practices. By integrating sustainability reporting and disclosures driven by social and environmental values, accounting frameworks enhance transparency and align financial strategies with broader societal expectations. Sustainability reporting illustrates the convergence of financial and non-financial metrics, incorporating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations. This approach demonstrates organizational commitment to sustainable development and ethical accountability, strengthening stakeholder trust and meeting regulatory requirements. Studies show comprehensive sustainability disclosures enhance reputational capital and stakeholder relations (Bellucci et al., 2019; Eccles et al., 2020). Robust accounting systems further operationalize these frameworks, enabling organizations to effectively track and report financial and non-financial performance indicators. These systems ensure alignment with corporate goals, navigate complex regulatory landscapes and foster transparent reporting practices (Endenich & Trapp, 2020).

Governance structures significantly enhance the effectiveness of transparent accounting practices. Audit committees with accounting expertise improve the quality of financial disclosures, mitigating risks of managerial opportunism and ensuring organizational accountability (Elmagrhi et al., 2019; García-Meca & García-Sánchez, 2018). Integrating social and environmental values into financial management showcases accounting's evolving role in addressing global challenges such as climate change and inequality. These integrative practices help organizations achieve financial objectives and establish their position as responsible corporate entities in competitive global markets. By adopting such frameworks, organizations enhance their transparency, accountability, and legitimacy in the eyes of stakeholders.

The Influence of Organizational Culture and Managerial Bias on Financial Practices

Organizational culture and managerial biases significantly influence financial decision-making, often leading to suboptimal outcomes. Managerial overconfidence, for example, drives a preference for short-term financing at the expense of long-term sustainability, exposing organizations to market volatility and economic disruptions. Similarly, cultures emphasizing immediate performance metrics frequently overlook sustainability and accountability, prioritizing quick gains over enduring financial stability. Such practices erode stakeholder trust and hinder the creation of robust financial ecosystems. The interplay between culture and bias creates complexities in financial management, where subjective influences distort ostensibly objective decisions. Depending on leadership dynamics and organizational norms, these distortions can lead to excessive risk-taking or undue conservatism. For instance, Malmendier (2018) show that overconfident executives often misjudge investment opportunities, resulting in inefficient resource allocation. Likewise, rigid and hierarchical cultures impede the adoption of innovative financial strategies. Addressing these issues is critical for improving financial practices and decision-making processes.

Accounting literature provides essential tools and methodologies for identifying and mitigating the effects of cultural and behavioral factors. Governance mechanisms such as audit committees help ensure transparency and accountability, counteracting biases in financial reporting. Additionally, sustainability accounting integrates environmental and social considerations into financial evaluations, aligning short-term performance with long-term goals. By combining qualitative and quantitative approaches, accounting frameworks offer a more holistic perspective, addressing technical, financial metrics, and cultural dimensions. These adaptive frameworks are vital for fostering sustainable financial strategies that meet stakeholder expectations while enhancing organizational resilience.

Subjective and Contextual Dimensions in Financial Decision-Making

Financial decision-making extends beyond the scope of quantitative data, encompassing subjective and contextual dimensions such as power dynamics, organizational values, and individual perceptions. These factors significantly influence how organizations approach financial strategies and risk management, challenging the traditional reliance on purely numerical analyses. Accounting literature has increasingly emphasized the importance of integrating these dimensions to enrich understanding financial practices. One critical insight from this approach is the role of individual and organizational perceptions in shaping financial decisions. Risk perception, for example, is often influenced by personal experiences and interpersonal dynamics within an organization. Studies reveal that subjective judgments about potential risks can lead to excessive conservatism or undue risk-taking, depending on the decision-makers decision-makers' cognitive and emotional biases (Malmendier & Tate, 2018). Such biases underscore the need for frameworks that account for psychological and social elements in financial analyses.

Organizational culture further amplifies the impact of these subjective dimensions. Cultural values embedded within an organization often dictate its priorities, shaping how financial strategies are formulated and executed. Research shows that organizations with rigid hierarchical cultures may resist adaptive financial practices, whereas those with collaborative and flexible cultures are more likely to embrace innovative financial solutions. These cultural influences highlight the necessity of considering contextual factors alongside technical metrics in financial decision-making. Accounting frameworks provide valuable tools for navigating these complexities by bridging the gap between quantitative data and qualitative insights. By integrating sustainability reporting and stakeholder engagement practices, accounting literature demonstrates how organizations can align their financial objectives with broader social and environmental goals. This alignment enhances transparency and builds stakeholder trust, reinforcing the organization's credibility and resilience (Bellucci et al., 2019).

Contributions to Understanding and Developing Alternative Models

A significant contribution of this study is its focus on developing alternative financial management models that are adaptive, flexible, and attuned to contemporary challenges. Traditional financial management models often prioritize technical efficiency and profitability, overlooking critical social and environmental dimensions. This study advocates for a holistic approach that integrates technical frameworks with social values, fostering sustainability and accountability in organizational practices. Accounting literature provides a critical foundation for developing these alternative models, offering theoretical and empirical insights that bridge technical rigor with contextual relevance. The proposed alternative models emphasize adaptability in navigating the complexities of modern markets. Organizations can better align their financial strategies with evolving stakeholder expectations and regulatory landscapes by incorporating dynamic and responsive frameworks. For instance, sustainability reporting is a tool for integrating environmental and social considerations into financial management, enabling organizations to enhance transparency and foster long-term trust among stakeholders (Bellucci et al., 2019). This approach underscores the need for financial models that extend beyond traditional performance metrics, addressing broader societal and environmental imperatives.

The role of accounting literature in informing these alternative models cannot be overstated. Studies highlight the importance of governance structures, such as audit committees with financial expertise, in ensuring transparency and reducing managerial opportunism (Khan et al., 2019). These mechanisms enhance accountability, enabling organizations to meet stakeholder demands for ethical and responsible financial practices. Furthermore, integrating behavioral insights, such as understanding managerial biases, allows for more comprehensive models considering financial decision-making's human and cultural dimensions (Malmendier & Tate, 2018).

Discussion

This research highlights the deconstruction of traditional financial management concepts through the lens of accounting literature. It successfully identifies significant limitations in traditional approaches, such as the quantitative models of Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), often relied upon for financial decision-making. While these models provide objectivity and accuracy in evaluating investment feasibility and financial performance, their findings must capture the social, cultural, and contextual dimensions influencing strategic decision-making. Factors such as managerial biases, risk perception, and organizational power dynamics are critical in shaping financial decisions. For instance, overconfidence bias frequently leads to a preference for short-term funding, which risks long-term organizational sustainability. As revealed in this study, accounting literacy offers a richer analytical framework for understanding these elements.

The study also emphasizes using theories like Agency Theory and Institutional Theory in accounting literature to address the complexities of financial practices. Agency Theory proves instrumental in analyzing conflicts of interest between principals, such as shareholders, and agents, such as managers, within organizations. These conflicts, if managed, can lead to managerial opportunism, resulting in accurate financial reporting. The findings indicate that robust governance structures, such as audit committees with accounting expertise, are critical in mitigating these risks and enhancing transparency. Conversely, Institutional Theory highlights the role of external pressures, including regulatory demands and social norms, in driving the adoption of more sustainable financial practices. By integrating these two theories, the study offers a holistic framework for understanding the internal and external dynamics influencing financial management. Regarding transparency and accountability, the findings underscore the contributions of accounting literature to developing frameworks that promote sustainable reporting and value-based disclosures. Sustainability reporting enhances organizational transparency and strengthens legitimacy in the eyes of stakeholders. Organizations that align their financial strategies with sustainability values are better positioned to meet increasingly complex regulatory demands while building more vital trust with their stakeholders.

Organizational culture and managerial biases also emerge as significant elements discussed in the study. Organizations with cultures overly focused on short-term results tend to neglect sustainability values, jeopardizing their reputation and long-term stability. This study reveals that accounting perspectives offer more profound insights into how organizational culture and interpersonal dynamics influence strategic decision-making. For instance, risk perception, often shaped by individual experiences and collective values, determines how organizations manage financial risks. Accounting literature provides critical insights into these elements, enabling more contextual and adaptive analyses of modern financial practices. Accounting literature offers a framework for organizations to navigate the complexities of modern markets. Traditional approaches, overly focused on quantitative efficiency, often overlook these elements. By leveraging accounting perspectives, organizations can identify weaknesses in traditional approaches and develop strategies more relevant to contemporary challenges. This becomes increasingly important given the evolving demands of markets and regulations, particularly concerning transparency, accountability, and sustainability.

The findings of this study align closely with the principles of Agency Theory and Institutional Theory, offering valuable insights into financial management practices. Agency Theory addresses the inherent conflicts of interest between principals, shareholders, and agents, such as managers, within organizations (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). These conflicts often lead to managerial opportunism, mainly when agents prioritize personal gains over the organization's broader objectives. This study confirms that robust governance mechanisms, such as audit committees with specialized accounting expertise, significantly mitigate these risks by ensuring greater transparency in financial reporting. Previous research supports this perspective, demonstrating that governance structures reduce opportunistic behavior and enhance disclosure reliability (Khan et al., 2019; García-Meca & García-Sánchez, 2018). Conversely, Institutional Theory underscores the critical role of external pressures, such as regulatory frameworks and societal expectations, in shaping organizational financial practices. This research supports the idea that regulatory demands and social norms drive the adoption of more transparent and sustainable financial management practices. For instance, sustainability reporting and integrated financial disclosures are increasingly used to align corporate objectives with stakeholder expectations (Haji & Anifowose, 2019; Elmagrhi et al., 2019).

This study aligns with prior research highlighting the limitations of traditional quantitative approaches in financial management. Baker et al. (2020) emphasize the evolving focus of financial research toward dynamic areas like corporate governance and market systems, reflecting an expanded understanding of financial decision-making. Similarly, Mundi et al. (2022) show how managerial overconfidence impacts capital structure decisions, echoing this study's findings on biases favoring short-term financing at the expense of sustainability. Ascani et al. (2021) further support the role of sustainability reporting in enhancing transparency and stakeholder trust, aligning with this research's emphasis on accountability. While earlier studies focus on governance mechanisms, this research bridges gaps by examining cultural and contextual factors influencing financial practices. Bowden and Stevenson-Clarke (2021) explore power dynamics and subjective knowledge, complementing this study's insights into their interaction with governance and regulatory pressures. Puyou (2022) underscores qualitative methods to address financial decision-making complexities, which this study integrates into a broader theoretical framework combining Agency and Institutional Theories. By balancing theoretical and practical perspectives, this research advances the discourse by presenting a holistic view of financial management shaped by internal governance, cultural dynamics, and external regulatory demands.

The findings of this research have significant implications for financial management practices. By adopting more adaptive and holistic financial models, organizations can better navigate modern challenges, such as increasing demands for sustainability and the complexities of evolving regulations. Sustainability reporting and the disclosure of social and environmental values emerge as strategic tools to foster stakeholder trust and enhance organizational credibility. These practices address external expectations and align internal strategies with long-term value creation. The need for enhanced education and training in accounting literacy is critical. Financial professionals must develop the skills to handle market complexities and ethical dilemmas effectively. Incorporating these competencies into professional development programs ensures practitioners can integrate technical and financial knowledge with broader social and environmental considerations. This equips organizations to respond dynamically to market shifts while maintaining a commitment to ethical governance. For policymakers, these findings provide actionable insights to design regulations that promote transparency and accountability without stifling organizational flexibility. Clear and balanced regulatory frameworks can encourage businesses to adopt sustainable practices while fostering innovation. Integrating technical approaches with social values offers a path toward effective, responsible, and resilient financial management practices.

Conclusions

This study explores the deconstruction of traditional financial management concepts through the lens of accounting literature, highlighting the limitations of conventional approaches and offering a more nuanced understanding of financial practices. The research underscores the critical role of transparency, accountability, and sustainability in financial decision-making by integrating theoretical frameworks such as Agency Theory and Institutional Theory. Additionally, the study emphasizes the influence of organizational culture and managerial biases in shaping financial outcomes while showcasing the importance of accounting frameworks in aligning financial objectives with social and environmental values.

The originality of this study lies in its interdisciplinary approach, bridging accounting literature with financial management practices to provide a holistic framework for navigating modern organizational challenges. It contributes to academic knowledge by addressing gaps in understanding how accounting insights can reshape traditional financial models. Practically, this study offers managerial and policy implications, including the necessity for robust governance structures, enhanced accounting literacy, and sustainability-focused financial reporting. Managers are encouraged to adopt adaptive financial models prioritizing long-term resilience over short-term profitability. At the same time, policymakers are advised to craft regulations that promote accountability and sustainability without stifling innovation.

Despite its contributions, the study has certain limitations, including its reliance on qualitative synthesis, which may limit the generalizability of findings across diverse organizational contexts. Future research could benefit from empirical studies to validate and expand on the proposed frameworks, particularly in exploring how specific cultural and regulatory environments influence financial practices. Further investigations should also consider longitudinal analyses to examine the evolution of financial management practices in response to changing market dynamics. These areas of inquiry will enrich the discourse and provide actionable insights for scholars and practitioners alike.

Reference

Ahmed Haji, A., & Anifowose, M. (2016). Audit committee and integrated reporting practice: does internal assurance matter? *Managerial Auditing Journal*, *31*(8/9), 915–948. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-12-2015-1293

Akther, T., & Xu, F. (2020). Existence of the audit expectation gap and its impact on stakeholders' confidence: The moderating role of the financial reporting council. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, *8*(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs8010004

Almagtome, A., Khaghaany, M., & Önce, S. (2020). Corporate governance quality, stakeholders' pressure, and sustainable development: An integrated approach. *International Journal of Mathematical, Engineering and Management Sciences*, *5*(6), 1077. https://doi.org/10.33889/IJMEMS.2020.5.6.082

Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., Vasarhelyi, M., & Yan, Z. (2017). Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *25*, 29–44. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2017.03.003

Arif, M., Sajjad, A., Farooq, S., Abrar, M., & Joyo, A. S. (2021). The impact of audit committee attributes on the quality and quantity of environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosures. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, *21*(3), 497–514. https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-06-2020-0243

Ascani, I., Ciccola, R., & Chiucchi, M. S. (2021). A structured literature review about the role of management accountants in sustainability accounting and reporting. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2357. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13042357

Baker, H. K., Kumar, S., & Pandey, N. (2020). A bibliometric analysis of managerial finance: a retrospective. *Managerial Finance*, *46*(11), 1495–1517. https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-06-2019-0277

Bananuka, J., Nkundabanyanga, S. K., Nalukenge, I., & Kaawaase, T. (2018). Internal audit function, audit committee effectiveness and accountability in the Ugandan statutory corporations. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, *16*(1), 138–157. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-07-2016-0062

Bellucci, M., Simoni, L., Acuti, D., & Manetti, G. (2019). Stakeholder engagement and dialogic accounting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *32*(5), 1467–1499. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-09-2017-3158

Benaroch, M., Jeffery, M., Kauffman, R. J., & Shah, S. (2007). Option-Based Risk Management: A Field Study of Sequential Information Technology Investment Decisions. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *24*(2), 103–140. https://doi.org/10.2753/MIS0742-1222240205

Bhimani, A. (2021). *Financial Management for Technology Start-Ups: How to Power Growth, Track Performance and Drive Innovation*. Kogan Page Publishers.

Bilal, Chen, S., & Komal, B. (2018). Audit committee financial expertise and earnings quality: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, *84*, 253–270. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.048

Bowden, B., & Stevenson-Clarke, P. (2021). Accounting, Foucault and debates about management and organizations. *Journal of Management History*, *27*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMH-07-2020-0042

Bower, J. L. (1972). *Managing the resource allocation process: A study of corporate planning and investment*. Irwin Homewood, IL.

Brown, J., & Dillard, J. (2019). Accounting education, democracy and sustainability: taking divergent perspectives seriously. *International Journal of Pluralism and Economics Education*, *10*(1), 24–45.

Buallay, A. (2019). Corporate governance, Sharia'ah governance and performance. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, *12*(2), 216–235. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-07-2017-0172

Christensen, H. B., Hail, L., & Leuz, C. (2019). *Adoption of CSR and sustainability reporting standards: Economic analysis and review* (Vol. 623). National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge, MA, USA.

Cooper, D. J., & Hopper, T. (2006). Critical Theorising in Management Accounting Research. In C. S. Chapman, A. G. Hopwood, & M. D. B. T.-H. of M. A. R. Shields (Eds.), *Handbooks of Management Accounting Research* (Vol. 1, pp. 207–245). Elsevier. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1751-3243(06)01007-8

Dupont, B. (2019). The cyber-resilience of financial institutions: significance and applicability. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, *5*(1), tyz013. https://doi.org/10.1093/cybsec/tyz013

Eccles, R. G., & Krzus, M. P. (2010). *One report: Integrated reporting for a sustainable strategy*. John Wiley & Sons.

Elmagrhi, M. H., Ntim, C. G., Elamer, A. A., & Zhang, Q. (2019). A study of environmental policies and regulations, governance structures, and environmental performance: The role of female directors. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *28*(1), 206–220. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2250

Endenich, C., & Trapp, R. (2020). Ethical Implications of Management Accounting and Control: A Systematic Review of the Contributions from the Journal of Business Ethics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *163*(2), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4034-8

Epstein, M. J., Buhovac, A. R., & Yuthas, K. (2015). Managing Social, Environmental and Financial Performance Simultaneously. *Long Range Planning*, *48*(1), 35–45. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.11.001

Farhan, A. (2021). *Teori Akuntansi–Perjalanan Filosofis Akuntansi dari Teori Menuju Praktik*. CV Globalcare.

García-Meca, E., & García-Sánchez, I.-M. (2018). Does managerial ability influence the quality of financial reporting? *European Management Journal*, *36*(4), 544–557. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2017.07.010

Heidmann, M. (2008). *The role of management accounting systems in strategic sensemaking*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-8349-9633-6

Heugens, P. P., & Lander, M. W. (2009). Structure! Agency!(and other quarrels): A meta-analysis of institutional theories of organization. *Academy of Management Journal*, *52*(1), 61–85. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.36461835

Hora, M. T., Bouwma-Gearhart, J., & Park, H. J. (2017). Data driven decision-making in the era of accountability: Fostering faculty data cultures for learning. *The Review of Higher Education*, *40*(3), 391–426. https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2017.0013.

Hunt, A. K. (2021). *Exploring the Relationships Between Expertise and Overconfidence Among Human Resource Management (HRM) Professionals: The Mediating and Moderating Effects of Individual Differences*. https://hdl.handle.net/1969.1/195561

Lee, J., & Park, J. (2019). The impact of audit committee financial expertise on management discussion and analysis (MD&A) tone. *European Accounting Review*, *28*(1), 129–150. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2018.1447387

Llewelyn, S. (2003). What counts as "theory" in qualitative management and accounting research? Introducing five levels of theorizing. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *16*(4), 662–708. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570310492344

López-Arceiz, F. J., Bellostas-Pérezgrueso, A. J., Moneva-Abadía, J. M., & Rivera-Torres, M. P. (2018). The role of corporate governance and transparency in the generation of financial performance in socially responsible companies. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting / Revista Española de Financiación y Contabilidad*, *47*(1), 44–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/02102412.2017.1379798

Lucianetti, L., Battista, V., & Koufteros, X. (2019). Comprehensive performance measurement systems design and organizational effectiveness. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *39*(2), 326–356. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-07-2017-0412

Mahdi, O. R., & Nassar, I. A. (2021). The business model of sustainable competitive advantage through strategic leadership capabilities and knowledge management processes to overcome covid-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), 9891.

Mahmood, M., Uyar, A., Kuzey, C., & Karaman, A. S. (2023). Business strategy, sustainability, and firm value: A test of financial slack and agency theories. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, *44*(5), 2924–2947. https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.3855

Malmendier, U. (2018). Chapter 4 - Behavioral Corporate Finance✶✶I thank Alexandra Steiny, Marius Günzel, and Woojin Kim for excellent research assistance. In B. D. Bernheim, S. DellaVigna, & D. B. T.-H. of B. E. A. and F. 1 Laibson (Eds.), *Handbook of Behavioral Economics - Foundations and Applications 1* (Vol. 1, pp. 277–379). North-Holland. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.hesbe.2018.08.001

Marquis, C., & Raynard, M. (2015). Institutional strategies in emerging markets. *Academy of Management Annals*, *9*(1), 291–335. https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2015.1014661

Moosa, I. A., & Ramiah, V. (2017). *Financial Consequences of Behavioural Biases*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69389-7

Mundi, H. S., Kaur, P., & Murty, R. L. N. (2022). A qualitative inquiry into the capital structure decisions of overconfident finance managers of family-owned businesses in India. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, *14*(3), 357–379. https://doi.org/10.1108/QRFM-02-2020-

0019

Nicholls, J. A. (2020). Integrating financial, social and environmental accounting. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, *11*(4), 745–769. https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-01-2019-0030

Nwaobia, A. N., Ogundajo, G. O., & Theogene, N. (2016). Internal audit practices and public financial Management in Rwanda and Nigeria: bridging the Transparency gap in public sector financial Reporting. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research Social & Management Sciences*, *2*(10), 55–77. https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net

Nwogugu, M. C. I. (2016). *Anomalies in net present value, returns and polynomials, and regret theory in decision-making*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-44698-5

Panda, B., & Leepsa, N. M. (2017). Agency theory: Review of theory and evidence on problems and perspectives. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, *10*(1), 74–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/0974686217701467

Puck, J., & Filatotchev, I. (2020). Finance and the multinational company: Building bridges between finance and global strategy research. *Global Strategy Journal*, *10*(4), 655–675. https://doi.org/10.1002/GSJ.1330

Puyou, F.-R. (2021). Teaching to read empirical sections from qualitative academic management literature as Literature. *Culture and Organization*, *28*(3–4), 279–295. https://doi.org/10.1080/14759551.2021.2017935

Rich, S. P., & Rose, J. T. (2014). Re-examining an Old Question: Does the IRR Method Implicitly Assume a Reinvestment Rate? *Journal of Financial Education*, *40*(1/2), 152–166. http://www.jstor.org/stable/24331033

Roeschmann, A. Z. (2014). Risk culture: What it is and how it affects an insurer's risk management. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, *17*(2), 277–296. https://doi.org/10.1111/rmir.12025

Sila, I. (2018). Linking quality with social and financial performance: A contextual, ethics-based approach. *Production and Operations Management*, *27*(6), 1102–1123. https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.12857

Stubbs, W., & Higgins, C. (2018). Stakeholders' Perspectives on the Role of Regulatory Reform in Integrated Reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *147*(3), 489–508. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2954-0

Taipaleenmäki, J., & Ikäheimo, S. (2013). On the convergence of management accounting and financial accounting – the role of information technology in accounting change. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *14*(4), 321–348. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2013.09.003

van Hoorn, A. (2017). Organizational Culture in the Financial Sector: Evidence from a Cross-Industry Analysis of Employee Personal Values and Career Success. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *146*(2), 451–467. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2932-6