

Developing Leadership Theories in Environment Remote Work

Stievan K. Halim * ¹, Yayan Hendayana ²

*¹ BINUS Entrepreneurship Center, Management Department, Bina Nusantara University

² Faculty of Economics & Business, Bhayangkara University of Greater Jakarta, Bekasi, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO



ISSN: 2620-6196
Vol. 8 Issue 1 (2025)

Article history:

Received - 12 February 2025

Revised - 15 Maret 2025

Accepted - 07 April 2025

Email Correspondence:

Stievan.halim@binus.ac.id

Keywords:

Remote work, leadership, hybrid working,

ABSTRACT

Review literature This to study theory evolving management in leadership organization between 2020 and 2024 which handles challenge environment Work distance distant and hybrid . Through analysis systematic to work scientific latest , review This identify three approach the key that marks a real shift from the leadership model traditional : digital competence , communication adaptive , and retrieval decision together . Findings This show that leadership in environment Work distance far and hybrid need different competencies and approaches compared to with paradigm leadership traditional , especially For manage team distributed in a way effective .

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated adoption arrangement Work distance far and hybrid in various industries around the world , fundamentally changing structure organization and practice leadership . Transition fast This has present challenges that have not been Once happen previously for aspiring leaders maintain productivity , collaboration and cohesion team in environment Work distributed . When the organization navigate landscape new this , theory developing leadership has evolve For overcome complexity unique in manage team distance distant and hybrid .

The advent of digital technologies, accelerated by global events such as the COVID-19 pandemic, has catalyzed a profound shift in work environments toward remote and hybrid models. Remote work, once a niche option, has now become a mainstream organizational practice across diverse industries. This transformation necessitates a re-evaluation and development of leadership theories to effectively address the unique challenges and dynamics of virtual work settings.

Traditional leadership models, such as transformational and transactional leadership, were primarily conceived within the context of face-to-face interactions. In remote environments, however, leaders must navigate complexities such as reduced physical oversight, reliance on digital communication, trust-building at a distance, and fostering organizational culture without the benefit of shared physical spaces. These conditions create a pressing need for adapted or entirely new leadership frameworks that prioritize flexibility, technological adeptness, emotional intelligence, and autonomy facilitation.

This paper explores the development of leadership theories suited to remote work environments. It aims to identify key leadership competencies necessary for virtual management, analyze the limitations of existing models in remote contexts, and propose integrative approaches that align leadership practices with the demands of distributed workforces. Understanding and innovating leadership frameworks in this evolving landscape is crucial not only for maintaining productivity and engagement but also for sustaining organizational resilience and growth in an increasingly digital world.

The shift to remote work has fundamentally altered organizational structures and employee expectations. Remote teams experience greater autonomy, but also face challenges in communication, collaboration, and maintaining a sense of belonging. Leaders are now tasked with motivating employees they may never meet in person, resolving conflicts without non-verbal cues, and ensuring accountability in a dispersed workforce.

Existing leadership theories, such as transformational leadership, emphasize vision sharing and emotional connection — aspects that can be difficult to maintain over digital platforms. Similarly, transactional leadership's focus on supervision and reward mechanisms is complicated by the physical separation of teams. Thus, leaders must develop competencies that transcend traditional boundaries, including digital literacy, asynchronous communication skills, and a strong emphasis on trust and empowerment.

The lack of a unified theoretical framework for remote leadership presents a significant gap in leadership studies. There is an urgent need to reframe leadership theories that account for virtual presence, emotional distance, and digital collaboration, while still achieving high performance, innovation, and employee satisfaction.

Review literature This aiming For :

- Identify and analyze theory evolving management in leadership organization between 2020 and 2024 respectively special handle challenge Work distance far and hybrid
- Review How theories This different from the leadership model traditional
- Synthesize approach and competence leadership required key For management effective in environment Work distributed
- Give recommendation For development leadership in context distance far and hybrid

RESEARCH METHOD

Search comprehensive conducted in several academic databases including Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. Search terms covers combination from : " leadership distance far , " " virtual leadership , " " management Work hybrid , " " leadership team distributed , " " digital leadership , " and " theory of growing management " with restrictions time from 2020 to 2024.

Selected studies based on criteria following :

- Focus on leadership organization in environment Work distance Far or hybrid
- Discuss theory , framework work , or management model developed special For leadership virtual/ distance team Far
- Empirical studies (qualitative , quantitative , or method mixed) or review systematic /meta- analysis
- Investigate challenges and solutions leadership specific related with management power Work distributed
- Including aspect leadership outside consideration technical pure
- Contain proof empirical or framework Work theoretical than article opinion
- Discuss environment Work distance remote / hybrid than management based on office traditional

Information in a way systematic extracted from studies selected , including design study , context research , framework theoretical , methodology , and findings key related leadership distance far . Approach analysis thematic used For identify theme repeating , patterns , and concepts emerging leadership throughout literature .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Transformation Digital Leadership

Significant themes that emerged from literature is transformation leadership in digital context . Ashafani (Asfahani, 2025) emphasize digital leadership , virtual leadership , and leadership hybrid as draft key in context Work distance far away , while Byczyk (Byczyk, 2024) identify competence technical as characteristics leadership is important . Technology integration to in practice leadership highlighted by Arunprasad et al. (Arunprasad et al., 2022), who saw that orientation technology as one of the of five factors organization key For manage power Work distance Far .

Barnes et al.(Barnes et al., 2024) introduce the concept of " capability" leadership distance far away , " which includes required skills For lead in a way effective in digital environment . However , the challenges in display behavior leadership transformational in arrangement distance far , showing that approach leadership traditional Possible No can translated in a way direct to virtual (Bell et al., 2019)environment .

Pontillo et al.(Pontillo et al., 2022) do studies literature that identifies various style leadership For Work distance remote and agile, including Leadership Directive , Catalytic , Resonant , Servant , Transformational , Authentic , E- leadership , and Leadership Distributed . Diversity approach This show that digital leadership requires flexibility and adaptability depends on the context organization certain .

Virtual Team Management Strategies

Literature mention several different strategies For manage virtual team . Han and Hazard emphasized leadership together as evolving approach For overcome challenge complex in virtual (Han & Hazard, 2022)team , representing shift from the hierarchical model going to framework more work collaborative . This is in line with findings from Terkamo -Moisio et al., who highlighted trust , communication , and leadership culture team as characteristics key from leadership distance far successful (Terkamo-Moisio et al., 2022).

Mustajab (Mustajab, 2024)emphasizes communication strategy effective in environment Work distance far , while Steenkamp and Dhanesh identified maintenance strategies relationships , including empathy , purpose , and climate diversity as matter important For maintain cohesion team (Steenkamp & Dhanesh, 2023). Findings This show that management successful virtual teams depend heavily on the capabilities build relationship that must be customized For digital context .

Nurmi and Hinds noted that leader global virtual team faces challenge unique related with temporal distance and difference culture , which requires improvement competence intercultural and skills communication asynchronous (Nurmi & Hinds, 2016). Similarly , Schmidt (2022) found that leader virtual team needed set clear norms and expectations about frequency communication , tools , and time response For prevent misunderstandings and gaps information (Schmidt & Van Dellen, 2022).

Implementation of Hybrid Work Model

Implementation of working model hybrid present challenge different leadership . Barnes et al.(Barnes et al., 2024) introduce the concept of " uncertainty" leadership hybrid , " highlighting complexity in manage team operating in the settings distance away and in the office . Leader must navigate tension between create fair experience for employee distance away and in the office while maintain cohesion teams in various arrangement Work .

Arunprasad et al.(Arunprasad et al., 2022) identify practice Management Human Resources and external processes as factor key in manage power Work distance far , showing that Work hybrid need adaptation organization systematic outside approach leadership individual . Wang et al.(Wang et al., 2021) observe that environment Work hybrid require leader For set mechanism coordination new and overcome potential disparity in visibility and opportunities progress career between worker distance away and in the office .

Raghuram et al.(Raghuram et al., 2019) propose that leadership Work successful hybrid requires " intelligence " spatial "— ability For optimize collaboration and individual work across the board room physical and virtual . An evolving concept This show that leader must develop competence new For in a way effective utilise profit from environment different work .

Engagement and Wellbeing Employee

Literature emphasize importance support engagement and well-being employee in environment Work distance remote and hybrid . Barnes et al.(Barnes et al., 2024) identifies the “ temporal dilemma of the leader ,” highlighting difficulty in manage time and maintain balance work-life in arrangement distance far . Byczyk (Byczyk, 2024) identify moral support as characteristics important leadership For Work distance far , while Steenkamp and Dhanesh(Steenkamp & Dhanesh, 2023) highlight empathy as a maintenance strategy key relationship .

Terkamo -Moisio et al.(Terkamo-Moisio et al., 2022) emphasize importance push culture positive team in arrangement distance far , showing that leader must in a way active create virtual opportunity for bond team and development culture . Kaushik and Guleria (Kaushik & Guleria, 2020)find that a leader who is proactive overcome problem isolation and exhaustion through regular check-ins and resources Power mental health report engagement and retention more team tall .

Charalampous et al(Charalampous et al., 2022) introduce the concept of " leadership" digital well-being ," emphasizing not quite enough answer leader For modeling practice healthy digital work and setting clear boundaries between life work and personal . An evolving approach This confess challenge unique in maintain well-being in an environment where the boundaries between work and home the more blurry .

Adaptation Cross- Dimensional Leadership

Literature disclose adaptation significant in various dimensions leadership when compare approach traditional with environment distance remote / hybrid . In communication , there is shift from interaction look at advance to tool virtual communication and communication asynchronous , which requires digital platform mastery and policy clear communication (Mustajab, 2024). Build trust switch from development connection in a way direct to technique build virtual trust that emphasizes transparency , communication consistent , and fulfillment commitment (Terkamo-Moisio et al., 2022).

Cultural development team develop from activity based on office become bond virtual teams and initiatives digital culture , which requires interaction regular virtual social and shared digital experiences (Rysavy & Michalak, 2020). Management performance shift from evaluation look at face and observation direct to tracking performance distance distance and assessment based on results , which require determination clear goals and regular virtual check- (Contreras et al., 2020)ins .

Leadership style transition from taking decision hierarchical , centralized become leadership together and taking decision distributed , which requires adaptability more big and empowering member team (Han & Hazard, 2022). Intelligence emotional adapt from read signal emotional in a way direct become develop virtual empathy and awareness digital emotional , emphasizing listen active in virtual settings and understanding nuance digital (Abou Hashish, 2025; Lalitha et al., 2025)communication

Implications Theoretical

The growing literature about leadership distance far and hybrid disclose shift significant paradigm in theory management . Leadership model traditional often to assume proximity physical and interaction synchronous , while framework Work new must take into account dimensions spatial , temporal, and technological from Work distributed . Evolution This show that theory leadership currently experience fundamental transformation for enter digital context as consideration main , not secondary .

The emergence draft such as " digital leadership ," " uncertainty leadership hybrid ," and " intelligence" spatial " signifies development construct theoretical new specific For environment Work distributed . Concepts This covers the area outside just adapt existing and representative theories approach new For understand leadership in digital context .

Implications Practical

Organizations that navigate environment Work distance far and hybrid must prioritize development leadership in three key areas : digital competence , communication adaptive , and retrieval decision together . Leader need skills technical to be effective in use tool digital collaboration while in a way simultaneously develop "soft skills" such as virtual empathy , intelligence digital emotional , and sensitivity culture For team distributed .

Training program must focused on helping leader navigate complexity environment hybrid , including prevent inequality between employee distance away and in the office , set protocol clear communication , and creating inclusive virtual culture . Organization must set approach systematic For management performance , well-being employees , and development team that takes into account challenge unique from Work distributed .

CONCLUSION

Review literature This identify shift significant in theory and practice leadership as response to environment Work distance remote and hybrid . Approach developing leadership emphasize digital competence , communication strategy adaptive , and decision-making models decision with different in a way real from framework leadership traditional . When the organization Keep going navigate arrangement Work distributed , development leadership must evolve For overcome challenge unique in manage teams all over room physical and virtual.

Literature show that leadership distance far and effective hybrid need Good mastery technical digital tools and improvement ability relational , including virtual empathy , intelligence digital emotional , and sensitivity culture . Future research must Keep going explore How theory leadership evolve as response to change arrangement work and identify practice best For develop equipped leader For navigate context increasingly digital organizations .

References :

- About Hashish, EA (2025). Compassion through technology: Digital empathy concept analysis and implications in nursing. *Digital Health* , 11 .
https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076251326221/ASSET/1CC872B4-9843-4B68-B32F-5A7563DD82FF/ASSETS/IMAGES/LARGE/10.1177_20552076251326221-FIG2.JPG
- Arunprasad, P., Dey, C., Jebli , F., Manimuthu , A., & El Hathat , Z. (2022). Exploring the remote work challenges in the era of COVID-19 pandemic: review and application model. *Benchmarking* , 29 (10), 3333–3355. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-07-2021-0421/FULL/XML>
- Asfahani , AM (2025). Navigating Digital Leadership: Unraveling the Dynamics of Remote Work Environments. *TEM Journal* , 14 (1), 823–835.
- Barnes, K., Vione, K., & Kotera, Y. (2024). Effective leadership practice among senior leaders working from home and in the hybrid workplace across COVID-19. *SN Business & Economics* , 4 (5), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S43546-024-00651-4/TABLES/2>

- Bell, B.S., McAlpine, K.L., & Hill, N.S. (2019). Leading from a distance: Advancements in virtual leadership research. *The Cambridge Handbook of Technology and Employee Behavior* , 387–418. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108649636.016>
- Byczyk , M. (2024). Changes in teamwork and new leadership characteristics, triggered by the work form changes. *Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology. Organization and Management Series* , 2024 (198), 81–96. <https://doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2024.198.5>
- Charalampous, M., Grant, C. A., & Tramontano, C. (2022). “It needs to be the right blend”: a qualitative exploration of remote e-workers' experience and well-being at work. *Employee Relations* , 44 (2), 335–355. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-02-2021-0058/FULL/XML>
- Contreras, F., Baykal, E., & Abid, G. (2020). E-Leadership and Teleworking in Times of COVID-19 and Beyond: What We Know and Where Do We Go. *Frontiers in Psychology* , 11 , 590271. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2020.590271/BIBTEX>
- Han, S. J., & Hazard, N. (2022). Shared Leadership in Virtual Teams at Work: Practical Strategies and Research Suggestions for Human Resource Development. *Human Resource Development Review* , 21 (3), 300–323. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15344843221093376>
- Kaushik, M., & Guleria , N. (2020). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic in the Workplace. *European Journal of Business and Management* . <https://doi.org/10.7176/EJBM/12-15-02>
- Lalitha, M., Ravi, J., & Reddy, E.U. (2025). Study on Digital Empathy in IT Corporation Management. In *Corporate Management in the Digital Age* (pp. 133–160). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8412-1.ch005>
- Mustajab, D. (2024). Exploring the Effectiveness of Remote and Hybrid Work Policies: A Literature Review on Workforce Management Practices. *Journal Management Business* , 11 (2), 891–908. <https://doi.org/10.33096/JMB.V11I2.798>
- Nurmi, N., & Hinds, P. J. (2016). Job complexity and learning opportunities: A silver lining in the design of global virtual work. *Journal of International Business Studies* , 47 (6), 631–654. <https://doi.org/10.1057/JIBS.2016.11/METRICS>
- Pontillo, S., Lauro, S. Di, & Antonelli, G. (2022). Defining the Leader in an Agile and Remote Working Environment. *PuntOorg International Journal* , 7 (2), 160–215. <https://doi.org/10.19245/25.05.PIJ.7.2.5>
- Raghuram, S., Hill, N.S., Gibbs, J.L., & Maruping, L.M. (2019). Virtual Work: Bridging Research Clusters. <https://Doi.Org/10.5465/Annals.2017.0020> , 13 (1), 308–341. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ANNALS.2017.0020>
- Rysavy, M.D.T., & Michalak, R. (2020). Working from Home: How We Managed Our Team Remotely with Technology. *Journal of Library Administration* , 60 (5), 532–542. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2020.1760569;SUBPAGE:STRING:ACCESS>
- Schmidt, G. B., & Van Dellen, S. A. (2022). Leadership of place in virtual environments. *Leadership* , 18 (1), 186–202. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17427150211045153>
- Steenkamp, H., & Dhanesh, G.S. (2023). Care-based relationship management during remote work in a crisis: Empathy, purpose, and diversity climate as emergent employee-organization relational maintenance strategies. *Public Relations Review* , 49 (4), 102371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PUBREV.2023.102371>
- Terkamo -Moisio, A., Karki, S., Kangasniemi, M., Lammintakanen , J., & Häggman-Laitila, A. (2022). Towards remote leadership in health care: Lessons learned from an integrative review. *Journal of*

Advanced Nursing , 78 (3), 595–608.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/JAN.15028>;WEBSITE:WEBSITE:PERICLES;WGROU:STRING:PUBLI
CATION

Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., & Parker, S. K. (2021). Achieving Effective Remote Working During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Work Design Perspective. *Applied Psychology* , 70 (1), 16–59.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/APPS.12290>;WGROU:STRING:PUBLICATION