

Pay and Incentive Inequality: A Systematic Review of Their Effects on Work Motivation

Hasyim ^{1*}, Muhammad Bakri ²
hasyimhalim8@gmail.com * m.bakri933@gmail.com

Universitas Wira Bahkti Makassar, Indonesia ^{1*,2}

Abstract

This study systematically examines the impact of pay and incentive inequality on work motivation, focusing on the interplay between monetary and non-monetary incentives, organizational factors, and demographic contexts. By addressing critical gaps in the literature, the research seeks to provide actionable insights into designing equitable and effective compensation systems. A systematic literature review (SLR) synthesized findings from diverse studies across various sectors and demographics. The analysis integrated theoretical frameworks such as Equity Theory and Self-Determination Theory (SDT) to explore how compensation systems influence employee motivation and organizational outcomes. The findings reveal that pay and incentive inequality significantly affect employee motivation and well-being, with disparities in compensation leading to perceptions of injustice, reduced engagement, and higher turnover. Monetary incentives are effective for short-term productivity but insufficient for sustaining intrinsic motivation without complementary non-monetary rewards. Organizational culture, transparency, and demographic factors, such as age, gender, and geographic location, were found to mediate the impact of compensation disparities. Additionally, the study underscores the need for context-specific compensation strategies to address systemic inequities and enhance motivation. The study highlights the importance of transparent and inclusive compensation policies for fostering equity and long-term organizational sustainability. Practical recommendations include combining monetary and non-monetary incentives, engaging employees in policy design, and tailoring strategies to demographic and geographic contexts. These insights contribute to developing equitable workplaces and inform future research directions.

Keywords: *Pay inequality; incentive systems; work motivation; organizational equity; employee engagement.*

 This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Compensation, which includes salaries and incentives, is central to motivating employees, enhancing job satisfaction, and retaining the workforce. In today's global context, compensation systems are no longer seen merely as rewards for completed tasks but are regarded as strategic tools to balance individual needs with organizational objectives. Effective implementation of compensation systems contributes not only to operational success but also to creating a productive and harmonious work environment (Nuraini, 2023). Compensation distribution often poses challenges, mainly when significant disparities arise in employee salaries and incentives. These disparities are frequently influenced by factors such as job positions, levels of seniority, educational backgrounds, as well as gender and ethnicity (Jackson & O'Callaghan, 2011). Such imbalances create complex dynamics within organizations, impacting not only individual employees but also the sustainability of organizational operations. When employees perceive that their rewards do not reflect their contributions, dissatisfaction often follows, leading to diminished morale, reduced motivation, and increased turnover rates (Mabindisa & Legoabe, 2021). This situation presents a challenge for human resource management and a potential threat to organizational sustainability amidst increasingly competitive business landscapes.

The growing awareness among employees of fairness in compensation practices further exacerbates this phenomenon. In an increasingly transparent workplace environment, information about compensation practices across organizations has become more accessible, enabling employees to compare their rewards against industry standards or peers (Brown et al., 2022). Disparities in compensation affect the relationship between employees and the organization and the overall workplace climate (Bana, 2019). Over time, such conditions may foster internal tensions that hinder team collaboration, erode trust in management, and create instability detrimental to organizational performance. Various reports indicate that the effects of compensation disparities extend beyond the individual level and have broader social dimensions (Hart et al., 2015). Studies highlight that certain groups, such as women, young workers, and minority groups, are more vulnerable to inequities in pay and incentives. The impact of these disparities often spills over into other aspects, including emotional well-being, health conditions, and unequal opportunities for career advancement.

Recent studies have examined the impact of various interventions on motivation and performance across a wide range of contexts, shedding light on their potential benefits and limitations. In the public sector, performance-related pay has gained traction as a motivational strategy, yet its effectiveness remains to be determined due to inconsistent outcomes across different settings (Ahmad et al., 2024). In workplace environments, monetary incentives have significantly improved survey response rates, with cash incentives outperforming alternatives such as vouchers or lotteries (Abdelazeem et al., 2023). Moreover, self-determined motivation and fulfilling psychological needs are critical in enhancing employee well-being, while unmet needs and motivation contribute to dissatisfaction and decreased productivity (Nunes et al., 2024). However, persistent disparities in workplace conditions based on gender and race continue to pose substantial challenges. These inequities affect organizational dynamics, create unfavorable work environments, and lead to inequalities in health outcomes among different workforce groups (Rydström et al., 2023). These findings underscore the importance of addressing individual and systemic inequities to foster motivation and effectively enhance workplace well-being.

In health-related contexts, financial incentives have been identified as valuable tools for improving participation and compliance with health interventions. For instance, monetary incentives have successfully increased adherence to antipsychotic medication regimens (Hodson et al., 2022) and enhanced participant engagement in randomized controlled trials (Abdelazeem et al., 2023). High-value financial incentives and exclusive initiatives, such as the Vax-a-Million program, have been associated with increased COVID-19 vaccination rates, whereas smaller incentives have shown limited or negligible effects (Mardi et al., 2022). Beyond financial incentives, transformational leadership has been recognized for its positive influence on employee motivation and performance, emphasizing the role of effective management practices in fostering a motivated workforce (Parastra et al., 2023). Despite these advancements, the broader implications of income inequality on health outcomes still need to be more conclusive. Limited evidence exists to establish a causal link between income inequality and poor self-rated health or all-cause mortality, suggesting further research employing more robust methodologies (Shimonovich et al., 2024).

Despite a growing body of literature on compensation systems and their impact, significant research gaps persist, particularly in understanding the interplay between pay and incentive inequalities and work motivation. Many existing studies focus narrowly on specific interventions or individual sectors, limiting the generalizability of findings across diverse organizational contexts. While monetary incentives have been extensively analyzed, their long-term effects on intrinsic motivation and overall employee well-being still need to be explored. Additionally, there needs to be more research integrating non-monetary incentives with financial rewards to address workplace inequities effectively,

leaving a critical gap in understanding their combined impact on motivation and performance. Theoretical gaps further complicate the discourse, as current research often overlooks contextual factors such as organizational culture, industry-specific norms, and demographic influences. These factors play a significant role in shaping employee perceptions of equity and their subsequent motivation levels. Existing findings frequently present conflicting conclusions regarding the causal links between compensation inequalities and organizational outcomes. For instance, while some studies highlight the benefits of monetary incentives in improving performance, others emphasize their limitations, particularly in fostering long-term intrinsic motivation. This inconsistency underscores the need for more robust methodologies and comprehensive frameworks to clarify these relationships.

This study makes a novel contribution by systematically synthesizing evidence on the effects of pay and incentive inequalities on work motivation, addressing key gaps in the existing literature. AA systematic literature review (SLR) approach comprehensively analyzes the interaction between monetary and non-monetary incentives across diverse organizational contexts. The research is guided by two critical questions: How do pay and incentive inequalities influence work motivation across different sectors and employee demographics? What are the long-term implications of these inequalities on organizational outcomes? The objectives of this study are threefold. First, it seeks to identify patterns and relationships in pay and incentive inequalities, offering insights into how these disparities manifest and affect employee motivation. Second, it aims to examine contextual factors, such as organizational culture and demographic influences, that mediate the impact of these inequalities. Third, the study proposes actionable strategies for designing equitable and effective compensation systems that address workplace inequities while promoting employee well-being and performance. By integrating findings from diverse studies, this research contributes to both academic discourse and practical policymaking. It advances understanding the complex dynamics between compensation practices and employee motivation, offering robust frameworks for addressing inequities. Ultimately, the study provides valuable insights for organizations that foster equity, enhance employee motivation, and improve long-term organizational performance.

Theoretical Foundations: Equity Theory and Self-Determination Theory

Understanding employee motivation is crucial for organizational success, as it directly influences productivity, job satisfaction, and retention rates. Two prominent theories that shed light on the dynamics of work motivation are Equity Theory and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). These frameworks offer valuable insights into how compensation structures and perceived fairness impact employee engagement and performance. Equity Theory, introduced by Adams (1963), provides a framework for understanding how perceptions of fairness influence employee motivation and behavior. This theory posits that employees evaluate the fairness of their work outcomes by comparing their input-output ratios to those of their peers. Inputs encompass various factors, including effort, experience, education, and skills, while outputs refer to rewards such as salary, benefits, and recognition. When employees perceive that their inputs outweigh their outputs relative to others in comparable roles, they may experience feelings of inequity (Malik & Singh, 2022). This perceived imbalance can decrease workplace motivation, dissatisfaction, and frustration. Employees often attempt to restore perceived equity through behavioral adjustments, such as reducing work effort, demanding higher compensation, or seeking alternative employment opportunities (Bamberger, 2023). For instance, an employee who believes their contributions are undervalued compared to a colleague performing similar tasks might withdraw their effort or seek a new role that offers perceived fairness. This theory underscores the critical importance of designing compensation systems that ensure perceived equity among employees, as this can significantly impact organizational morale and productivity. Research by Olafsen et al. (2015) supports the relevance of this theory, showing that

perceived fairness in compensation directly correlates with increased employee satisfaction and engagement. Consequently, organizations must prioritize fairness in their reward systems to foster a motivated and cohesive workforce.

Self-determination theory, developed by Deci & Ryan (2013), explores the intrinsic and extrinsic motivations that drive human behavior and performance. Central to SDT is identifying three fundamental psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Autonomy refers to the desire for self-direction and control over one's actions, while competence involves feeling capable and effective in achieving goals. Relatedness encompasses the aspiration to build meaningful connections with others. When these psychological needs are fulfilled, employees are more likely to exhibit intrinsic motivation, which fosters engagement, creativity, and job satisfaction (Bin Saeed et al., 2019). Conversely, unmet psychological needs can diminish motivation, disengage, and reduce overall well-being (Wahyuni, 2024). SDT also highlights the nuanced impact of extrinsic rewards, such as monetary incentives. While these rewards can positively influence behavior, they may inadvertently undermine intrinsic motivation if perceived as controlling or coercive. For example, a bonus tied strictly to performance metrics might reduce an employee's sense of autonomy, dampening inherent drive. Matei & Veith (2023) emphasize that organizations can sustain long-term motivation by fostering an environment that supports autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Strategies such as offering opportunities for professional growth, recognizing achievements, and creating collaborative work environments can enhance intrinsic motivation (Z et al., 2024). Thus, SDT provides a valuable framework for organizations designing motivational systems that balance extrinsic rewards with inherent fulfillment.

Impact of Salary and Incentive Inequality on Work Motivation

Compensation systems are pivotal in shaping employee motivation, as they directly influence job satisfaction, performance, and organizational commitment (Mulang, 2023). However, disparities in salary and incentives can lead to perceptions of inequity, adversely affecting employee morale and productivity (Buttner & Lowe, 2017). This literature review examines the impact of salary and incentive inequality on work motivation, emphasizing the significance of equitable compensation practices. Salary disparities often result in perceptions of unfairness among employees (Greenberg, 2018). According to Equity Theory, individuals assess fairness by comparing their input-output ratios with their peers. When employees perceive that their efforts are not equitably rewarded compared to others, it leads to feelings of injustice, diminishing motivation, and job satisfaction (Adams, 1963). Breza et al. (2018) found that pay inequality reduces output and attendance, highlighting the detrimental effects of perceived unfairness on employee performance.

Incentives, both monetary and non-monetary, are crucial in motivating employees. Monetary incentives, such as bonuses and commissions, are often considered primary motivators (Manjenje & Muhanga, 2021). However, their effectiveness can be limited if not complemented by non-monetary incentives like recognition and opportunities for professional development. Research indicates that overemphasizing monetary rewards can undermine intrinsic motivation, especially when perceived as controlling (Kwon & Sondag, 2024). While financial rewards can boost motivation temporarily, they may not sustain long-term engagement if intrinsic needs are neglected. Disparities in incentive distribution can further exacerbate feelings of inequity. When employees perceive that rewards are not aligned with their contributions, it can decrease motivation and engagement. Gesiarz et al. (2020) found that unequal compensation reduces people's motivation to work, even among those who benefit from unfair advantages. This underscores the importance of transparent and fair incentive structures in maintaining employee morale. The balance between extrinsic and intrinsic motivation is delicate. Self-determination theory posits that fulfilling employees' psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—is essential for sustaining intrinsic motivation (Deci & Ryan,

1985). Disparities in compensation can disrupt this balance, leading to decreased motivation. For instance, Kroll & Porumbescu (2019) found that expectations of low extrinsic rewards led to higher reported intrinsic and prosocial motivation, suggesting that employees may adjust their motivation in response to perceived inequities. Organizations should strive for transparency in their compensation policies. Communicating the salary and incentive distribution criteria can help manage employee expectations and perceptions of fairness to mitigate the adverse effects of compensation disparities (Brown et al., 2022). Additionally, incorporating both monetary and non-monetary rewards can address diverse motivational drivers. Opportunities for professional growth, recognition programs, and inclusive decision-making processes can enhance intrinsic motivation, complementing financial incentives.

Differential Impact of Inequality on Demographic Groups

Pay and incentive inequality often reflects underlying structural biases within organizations, influenced by demographic factors such as gender, age, education, ethnicity, and geographic location. These disparities are not merely individual experiences, but systemic issues embedded within organizational policies and societal norms. Understanding how these inequalities impact different demographic groups is crucial for addressing their broader consequences on workplace motivation and productivity. Gender-based inequality in pay and incentives is one of the most documented forms of inequity in the workplace (Son Hing et al., 2023). Research consistently shows a pay gap where women receive lower wages than men despite having the same responsibilities and roles. Despite equal contributions, women often receive fewer financial incentives than their male counterparts. Blau & Kahn (2017) highlight structural barriers such as limited access to leadership positions and biased evaluation systems exacerbate this inequality. These inequities not only reduce women's motivation but also limit their career progression, reinforcing adverse systemic discrimination. In addition, Hartman & Barber (2020) shows that perceived inequities in compensation can significantly decrease women's job satisfaction and engagement, ultimately affecting organizational outcomes. Age and generational disparities also play a significant role in workplace dynamics. Younger employees, particularly Millennials and Gen Z, often face challenges in receiving equitable compensation compared to senior employees, even when their contributions are equally significant (Lallukka, 2024). Perceptions of inexperience or lack of loyalty often result in these disparities. However, younger generations are increasingly vocal about demanding transparency and equity in compensation. Ng & Parry (2016) found that unmet expectations in this area often lead to disengagement and increased turnover rates, posing additional retention challenges for organizations.

Ethnic and racial disparities in pay and incentives further highlight systemic biases within organizational practices (Avery et al., 2023). Workers from minority ethnic backgrounds often earn lower wages and have reduced access to incentives compared to their peers in majority groups. Discrimination frequently begins at the hiring stage, as Bertrand & Mullainathan (2004) demonstrated, where candidates with minority backgrounds face fewer opportunities for competitive compensation. These systemic inequities erode motivation, lower job satisfaction, and perpetuate socio-economic disparities within the workforce. Geographic location significantly influences compensation structures, with employees in urban areas generally earning higher wages and receiving better incentives than those in rural regions (Lai et al., 2024). While differences in cost of living often justify these disparities, they fail to address rural areas' limited access to resources and career opportunities. Lenzi & Perucca (2021) highlighted that such geographic disparities often lead to feelings of undervaluation, reducing satisfaction and productivity among rural workers. Addressing these issues requires a nuanced understanding of regional economic conditions and proactive organizational strategies. These disparities in pay and incentives across demographic groups create distinct perceptions of unfairness that directly impact motivation and engagement. While the experience of inequity varies among

groups, the overarching outcome is reduced job satisfaction, disengagement, and weakened organizational commitment (Shuck et al., 2016). Such perceptions can lead to short-term dissatisfaction and long-term consequences, such as increased turnover rates and damage to an organization's reputation as an equitable employer. Chigaga (2024) argues that addressing these disparities is vital for maintaining employee motivation and fostering organizational stability. To mitigate the effects of inequality, organizations must implement transparent compensation policies and ensure fair distribution of pay and incentives. Promoting diversity and inclusion through anti-discrimination training and tailored career development programs can significantly reduce these disparities (Syafri, 2021). Ensuring fairness in compensation enhances employee motivation and fosters a culture of inclusivity that benefits individuals and organizations.

Long-term Implications of Salary Inequality on Organizational Outcomes

Salary inequality is a critical organizational issue that reflects systemic biases and structural inefficiencies. A fair compensation system ensures organizational sustainability and maintains employee satisfaction (Awoitau et al., 2024). When employees perceive that their compensation aligns with their contributions, it fosters trust, commitment, and motivation. However, salary inequality often highlights deeper structural problems in organizational policies, such as biased decision-making processes, inadequate data-driven evaluations, and cultural norms that overlook diversity and inclusion (Pinkett, 2023). Over time, these disparities can significantly impact organizational outcomes, including employee satisfaction, productivity, and reputation. One of the most immediate and tangible effects of salary inequality is its impact on employee retention and turnover. Employees who perceive their compensation as unfair are likelier to leave the organization, seeking better opportunities elsewhere. High turnover rates can disrupt operations, increasing recruitment and training costs (Li et al., 2022). Moreover, the loss of skilled employees often undermines organizational stability and performance. Research has demonstrated a direct correlation between dissatisfaction with salary structures and higher turnover rates. For example, Boyd (2017) found that unfair compensation policies substantially increased employee resignations, particularly among high-performing individuals, thereby exacerbating the financial and operational burdens on organizations.

Salary inequality also has a profound effect on employee productivity and morale. Persistent disparities in pay often lead to feelings of injustice among employees, reducing their willingness to engage in their work thoroughly (Smulowitz & Almandoz, 2021). This phenomenon, commonly called "quiet quitting," involves employees performing only the bare minimum required, negatively impacting team dynamics and overall productivity (Gabelaia & Bagociunaite, 2024). Empirical evidence highlights that salary inequality erodes team collaboration and innovation, ultimately compromising organizational effectiveness (Dube et al., 2020). Organizations that must address these disparities risk fostering a demotivated workforce, significantly hindering long-term growth and competitiveness. Employee psychological and emotional well-being is another area where salary inequality has a detrimental impact. Unfair compensation often leads to increased stress and anxiety, contributing to mental health challenges such as burnout (Robbins et al., 2012). Prolonged exposure to these stressors can diminish employees' commitment to their roles and the organization. Demerouti et al. (2014) underscore the strong link between perceived salary injustice and burnout, emphasizing that organizations must address compensation disparities to promote employee well-being and reduce the risk of absenteeism and decreased performance.

In addition to its internal effects, salary inequality significantly influences an organization's external reputation and sustainability. In today's transparent business environment, inequitable compensation policies can tarnish an organization's image among potential employees, customers, and investors (Isebor, 2024). Conversely, adopting transparent and equitable salary structures enhances an organization's reputation as a fair

and inclusive employer. This positive image attracts top talent and strengthens stakeholder trust and loyalty, which are crucial for long-term success (Monteiro et al., 2020). For instance, research by Barasa et al. (2018) highlights how transparent compensation policies contribute to improved organizational resilience and market competitiveness. Salary inequality also impacts organizational culture. Disparities in compensation can create divisions among employees, fostering internal conflicts and reducing collaboration. A healthy organizational culture requires integrating fairness into all operational aspects, including compensation policies. By embedding principles of equity into their culture, organizations can enhance employee loyalty, teamwork, and long-term engagement. Studies suggest that when fairness is prioritized, organizations experience higher employee satisfaction and productivity (Alsaqqaf, 2022). Organizations must adopt proactive strategies to mitigate the adverse effects of salary inequality. Regular salary evaluations, transparent pay structures, and data-driven decision-making processes are essential for addressing disparities. Additionally, involving employees in discussions about compensation policies fosters a sense of ownership and engagement. Organizations must also implement strategies that prioritize long-term sustainability, balancing short-term financial goals with the need for equitable practices.

Analysis Method

Study Design

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, utilizing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to explore salary inequality and its long-term implications for organizational outcomes. The SLR design enables a structured synthesis of existing knowledge by systematically identifying, evaluating, and integrating relevant studies. This approach ensures a comprehensive topic analysis while addressing existing literature gaps. The review process followed clearly defined stages, including formulating research questions, conducting systematic search, screening eligible studies, and analyzing eligible studies.

The Sample Population or Subject of the Research

The research focuses on peer-reviewed journal articles and credible grey literature published between 2014 and 2024. These sources examine salary inequality and its impact on organizational aspects such as employee retention, productivity, and well-being. Inclusion criteria were established to ensure the selection of studies that discuss salary inequality in various industrial, geographical, and demographic contexts. Studies were excluded if they lacked relevance to the research questions, contained insufficient empirical data, or were not from reputable sources.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data was collected using systematic keyword searches in Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases. The search strategy included terms like "salary inequality," "organizational outcomes," and "employee motivation." Studies were screened for relevance based on titles, abstracts, and full texts. A data extraction template was developed to systematically capture key details from each study, including objectives, methods, findings, and implications.

Data Analysis Techniques

Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns and relationships across the selected studies. Qualitative coding techniques were used to organize findings into categories such as "employee retention," "productivity outcomes," and "workplace culture." The analysis focused on synthesizing insights to develop a coherent narrative about how salary inequality impacts organizational outcomes. The rigor of the analysis was enhanced

through iterative review and cross-validation of themes to ensure consistency and reliability in the findings.

Results and Discussion

Results

Patterns and Relationships Between Pay Inequality and Work Motivation

Pay and incentive inequality is a pervasive challenge that cuts across various sectors and is deeply rooted in organizational practices and demographic factors. In industries such as technology and finance, pronounced disparities are evident, particularly between technical and non-technical roles. These discrepancies are further compounded by structural factors such as seniority, gender, and ethnicity, creating a complex web of inequality. Employees who perceive their compensation as unjust often experience diminished morale, lower motivation, and decreased job satisfaction. Such perceptions of inequity can lead to disengagement, underperformance, or even voluntary turnover, causing disruptions in organizational stability and operational efficiency (Ng & Parry, 2016). These issues are not merely individual grievances but reflect systemic problems within organizational structures, necessitating a holistic approach to address and mitigate the impact of such inequalities.

The relationship between pay inequality and work motivation is intricate, involving tangible and intangible compensation elements. Monetary incentives such as bonuses and allowances are commonly used to boost motivation. However, their success largely hinges on how employees perceive these rewards. When financial incentives are overemphasized without being balanced by non-monetary benefits, such as recognition, career development opportunities, or flexible working arrangements, they can lead to feelings of manipulation and erode intrinsic motivation. Dube et al. (2020) highlighted that while financial rewards might spur short-term productivity, they often fail to foster long-term engagement if employees perceive them as unfair or insufficiently transparent. Poorly designed compensation systems exacerbate these challenges, fostering employee resentment and reducing team collaboration. Transparent communication and equitable pay structures are crucial in bridging this gap, aligning employee expectations with organizational goals, and fostering a more motivated and engaged workforce. These strategies emphasize the need for balanced, thoughtful compensation systems to address immediate and long-term organizational priorities.

Contextual Factors Influencing the Impact of Pay Inequality

Contextual factors significantly shape how pay and incentive inequality impact employee motivation and organizational dynamics. Organizational culture is a central mediating force; workplaces emphasizing fairness, transparency, and inclusivity tend to soften the adverse effects of perceived pay inequities. In contrast, rigid hierarchies and opaque compensation policies exacerbate employee dissatisfaction and feelings of injustice. Employees who perceive unfair pay are more likely to disengage or express frustration, which can disrupt workplace cohesion and reduce productivity. Demographic factors such as age, gender, and ethnicity also play a crucial role in influencing perceptions of fairness. Younger employees, particularly millennials and Generation Z, are often more vocal about inequities, demanding greater transparency in pay structures and challenging traditional compensation norms. These groups are more likely to view opaque compensation practices as indicative of broader organizational flaws (Ng & Parry, 2016).

Geographical and industrial contexts further contribute to the varying impacts of pay inequality. Employees in urban areas, where labor market competition is intense, tend to hold higher expectations regarding equity and career advancement opportunities. They are also more likely to challenge disparities in compensation compared to their counterparts in rural areas, where limited employment options often result in greater

acceptance of wage gaps. The dynamics of pay inequality also differ across industries. For instance, the technology and finance sectors are known for broader pay disparities due to high-value technical roles. In contrast, traditional sectors such as manufacturing and healthcare often have more standardized compensation structures (Qin et al., 2023). These contextual nuances highlight the complexity of addressing pay inequality, emphasizing the need for tailored organizational strategies that consider industry standards, geographical factors, and the demographic diversity of the workforce.

Long-Term Implications of Pay Inequality on Organizational Outcomes

The long-term implications of pay inequality ripple across various organizational dimensions, significantly influencing employee retention, well-being, and organizational stability. High turnover rates are among the most immediate and visible consequences of pay inequity. Employees dissatisfied with their compensation often leave for better opportunities, leading to increased recruitment, onboarding, and training costs. This churn disrupts team dynamics and undermines operational stability and institutional knowledge. Ahmad et al. (2024) noted that performance-related pay schemes, while aimed at motivation, often yield inconsistent results due to misalignment with employee expectations. Furthermore, high-performing employees are disproportionately impacted, as they are likelier to exit organizations that are perceived as unfair. This finding aligns with research highlighting the financial strain and operational instability caused by turnover in organizations with inequitable compensation practices (Rydström et al., 2023).

Beyond retention, pay inequality significantly affects employee well-being, creating a cascade of adverse effects on mental health, engagement, and productivity. Employees facing persistent disparities often report elevated stress levels, leading to burnout and disengagement. Burnout, in particular, erodes employees' ability to contribute effectively, reducing their commitment to organizational goals. Research by Martins Nunes et al. (2023) underscores the link between unmet psychological needs and workplace dissatisfaction, emphasizing the need for equitable pay systems. Externally, pay inequality risks an organization's reputation, potentially deterring top talent, customers, and investors. Organizations prioritizing transparency in compensation structures often outperform competitors in attracting and retaining high-caliber employees (Abdelazeem et al., 2023). Transparent and fair compensation policies have enhanced brand loyalty and provided a competitive edge, particularly in industries such as technology and finance (Mardi et al., 2022).

Strategies for Managing Pay Inequality and Enhancing Motivation

Addressing pay inequality effectively requires strategies grounded in fairness, inclusivity, and transparency. A critical intervention involves implementing transparent compensation policies that articulate the criteria and rationale behind pay and incentives. Transparency fosters trust and ensures employees perceive fairness within the organization. Regular salary evaluations and adjustments, informed by measurable contributions, performance appraisals, and market benchmarks, are essential to reducing disparities and promoting equity (Ahmad et al., 2024). Open communication channels about compensation decisions can mitigate confusion and reduce perceptions of favoritism or bias, fostering a culture of accountability and trust.

A holistic approach to incentives complements financial rewards, addressing extrinsic and intrinsic motivational factors. While performance bonuses and profit-sharing schemes meet immediate financial needs, non-monetary benefits such as career development programs and flexible work arrangements promote sustained motivation (Parastra et al., 2023). Recognition initiatives and mentorship opportunities strengthen employees' sense of belonging and value. Research underscores that combining monetary and non-monetary incentives enhances short-term performance and long-term engagement (Abdelazeem et al., 2022). Inclusivity initiatives—such as anti-discrimination training and mentorship for

underrepresented groups—are vital in addressing systemic biases perpetuating inequalities. These strategies reduce pay disparities and cultivate a workplace environment where employees feel motivated and committed to organizational success (Hodson et al., 2022).

Discussion

The findings of this research emphasize that pay and incentive inequality significantly impact employee work motivation, underscoring the critical relationship between compensation practices and perceived fairness in the workplace. Inequalities in the distribution of compensation, whether in the form of salaries or incentives, directly shape employees' perceptions of organizational justice. Employees who feel their contributions, including effort, experience, and skill, should be more valued or adequately compensated often experience a decline in motivation. This phenomenon aligns with the foundational principles of Equity Theory, which posits that individuals evaluate fairness by comparing their input-output ratios to those of their peers. When employees perceive inequity, they are more likely to exhibit negative responses such as reduced performance, disengagement, or even leaving the organization to seek more equitable opportunities elsewhere. Such dynamics create a ripple effect, potentially destabilizing team cohesion and undermining organizational effectiveness. The significance of addressing these issues lies in maintaining employee morale and fostering a culture of fairness that supports long-term organizational success.

The study further highlights the differential impacts of monetary and non-monetary incentives on work motivation, revealing a nuanced interaction between these two forms of rewards. Monetary incentives, including bonuses, financial rewards, and performance-based pay, are highly effective in driving short-term results, particularly in tasks that require immediate focus and effort. However, over-reliance on financial incentives can fail to nurture intrinsic motivation, essential for sustained engagement and long-term commitment. In contrast, non-monetary incentives, such as recognition programs, opportunities for career advancement, and flexible work arrangements, have a more profound impact on employee satisfaction and loyalty. These non-monetary rewards foster intrinsic motivation by addressing fundamental psychological needs, such as feeling valued and connected to the organization. This finding underscores the importance of a balanced approach to incentives that integrates both extrinsic and intrinsic motivators, ensuring that employees remain engaged and committed over time.

Organizational factors, including corporate culture, policy transparency, and hierarchical structures, play a crucial role in mediating the effects of pay inequality on employee motivation. A workplace culture characterized by fairness, inclusivity, and open communication can significantly mitigate the adverse impacts of perceived inequities. Transparent compensation policies, where criteria for salary and incentive decisions are communicated and consistently applied, enhance employees' perceptions of justice and trust in management. Conversely, rigid hierarchies and opaque pay structures exacerbate dissatisfaction and reduce organizational loyalty. This research emphasizes that organizations with unclear or inconsistent policies often face higher levels of employee disengagement, further destabilizing workplace harmony. Therefore, adopting well-structured policies prioritizing equity and inclusivity is essential to fostering a work environment where employees feel valued and respected, ultimately leading to better performance outcomes and organizational sustainability.

The findings also reveal significant variations in the impact of pay inequality based on demographic factors such as age, gender, and geographic location. Younger employees, particularly millennials and Generation Z, are more critical of compensation practices and are more likely to challenge pay inequities than older generations, who may be more accustomed to traditional workplace norms. Female employees, despite often contributing equally to their male counterparts, frequently face more significant pay disparities, reflecting broader systemic inequities. Similarly, employees from ethnic minority groups

encounter additional barriers in accessing equitable compensation, further exacerbating their perceptions of injustice. Geographically, disparities in compensation tend to be more tolerated in rural areas, where employment opportunities are limited, while urban employees in competitive markets demand more significant equity and transparency. These findings underline organizations' need to consider social and geographic contexts when designing compensation systems, ensuring that policies are tailored to address the specific needs and expectations of diverse employee groups effectively.

The findings of this study align closely with the frameworks of Equity Theory and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). According to Equity Theory, individuals assess fairness by comparing the ratio of their inputs, such as effort, experience, and skills, to the outputs they receive, including salary, benefits, and recognition. When employees perceive disparities in pay or incentives relative to their peers, a sense of inequity arises, adversely affecting work motivation. This supports the principle of Equity Theory, as employees experiencing such inequities are likely to exhibit reduced engagement, diminished morale, or even withdrawal from their roles (Adams, 1963; Colquitt et al., 2019). Addressing these perceptions of inequity is critical to fostering a motivated and productive workforce. Simultaneously, the findings resonate with Self-Determination Theory (SDT), which emphasizes fulfilling core psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—as fundamental to intrinsic motivation (Deci & Ryan, 1985). Non-monetary incentives such as career development opportunities, recognition, and work-life balance initiatives effectively address these psychological needs, creating a more sustainable and enduring motivation. These elements enhance individual performance and contribute to overall organizational well-being. The balance between extrinsic and intrinsic motivators, highlighted in this study, underscores the practical relevance of SDT in analyzing how compensation systems influence motivation. By integrating extrinsic rewards like monetary incentives with non-monetary benefits, organizations can cultivate a supportive environment that drives immediate performance and long-term commitment (Gagné et al., 2019). This interplay of theories provides a robust framework for understanding the complex dynamics between compensation practices and employee

The findings of this study align with prior research demonstrating the negative impact of pay inequality on motivation and organizational outcomes. Ng and Parry (2019) emphasized how perceptions of inequity in compensation significantly reduce job satisfaction and increase employee turnover. Similarly, Dube et al. (2020) highlighted the limited effectiveness of monetary incentives in sustaining long-term motivation without complementary non-monetary rewards. This study extends these findings by highlighting the influence of demographic and geographic contexts, such as gender and urban-rural disparities, which have been underexplored. Research by Ahmad et al. (2024) revealed that performance-related pay in the public sector has produced mixed outcomes, reflecting the challenges of implementing such systems across diverse contexts. Abdelazeem et al. (2023) found monetary incentives, like cash rewards, to be effective for short-term goals, such as increasing survey response rates. However, consistent with the present study, these approaches often need to improve intrinsic motivation and long-term employee engagement. This study also aligns with Martins Nunes et al. (2023), who emphasized the importance of fulfilling psychological needs, such as autonomy and competence, in driving motivation. Similarly, Rydström et al. (2023) highlighted systemic inequities based on gender and race, undermining fairness and employee engagement. By integrating these insights, this study offers a broader perspective, advocating for comprehensive compensation strategies that effectively balance monetary and non-monetary incentives to address immediate and systemic inequities. The findings of this research offer critical practical implications for human resource management. Organizations must adopt compensation policies prioritizing transparency and fairness to foster a harmonious work environment. Transparency in salary and incentive determinations, coupled with employee involvement in the decision-making process, enhances perceptions of equity and mitigates the adverse

effects of pay and incentive inequality. Clear communication regarding compensation structures helps build employee trust, ultimately contributing to a more cohesive and productive organizational culture. A balanced approach combining monetary and non-monetary incentives is strongly recommended. Monetary rewards, such as performance bonuses and financial recognition, should be supplemented with non-monetary incentives, including recognition programs, opportunities for career advancement, and flexible work arrangements. These additional measures support intrinsic motivation by addressing employees' psychological needs, fostering engagement, and promoting long-term commitment. Additionally, organizations should consider demographic and geographical contexts when designing compensation policies to ensure their relevance and effectiveness across diverse workforce segments.

Conclusion and Suggestion

This study systematically examines the multifaceted impact of pay and incentive inequality on work motivation across various organizational and demographic contexts. Addressing critical research questions highlights how perceived inequities in compensation affect employee morale, engagement, and retention. The findings reveal a complex interplay between monetary and non-monetary incentives, organizational culture, and demographic factors, underscoring the need for comprehensive compensation strategies that balance extrinsic and intrinsic motivators. Additionally, the study identifies contextual variables, such as geography and industry, that mediate the effects of pay disparities, offering valuable insights into how organizations can design more equitable systems.

The originality of this study lies in its holistic approach, bridging gaps in the literature and providing actionable recommendations for academia and practice. Scientifically, it enriches the discourse on equity theory and self-determination theory, advancing our understanding of their practical implications in modern organizational settings. From a managerial perspective, the findings emphasize the importance of transparency, fairness, and inclusivity in compensation policies. Practical recommendations include adopting balanced incentive systems that address immediate and long-term motivational needs, fostering open communication about pay structures, and tailoring compensation policies to demographic and geographic specifics. These strategies enhance employee motivation and satisfaction and contribute to long-term organizational sustainability.

Despite its contributions, this study has limitations that provide opportunities for future research. The reliance on secondary data in a systematic review limits the ability to explore causal relationships or dynamic processes over time. Future studies should employ longitudinal or mixed approaches to investigate the evolving effects of pay inequality. Additionally, more empirical research is needed to explore industry-specific nuances and cross-cultural variations in the perception of pay equity. Expanding the scope of research to include intersectional analyses of demographic factors will further deepen our understanding and offer tailored solutions for diverse workforce needs. These directions for future inquiry aim to build on this study's foundation, fostering more equitable and effective compensation practices across global organizations.

Reference

- Abdelazeem, B., Hamdallah, A., Rizk, M. A., Abbas, K. S., El-Shahat, N. A., Manasrah, N., Mostafa, M. R., & Eltohy, M. (2023). Does usage of monetary incentive impact the involvement in surveys? A systematic review and meta-analysis of 46 randomized controlled trials. *PloS One*, 18(1), e0279128. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0279128>
- Adams, J. S. (1963). Towards an understanding of inequity. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 67(5), 422. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040968>

- Ahmad, F., Lyu, J., Stazyk, E., & Higgs, M. (2024). Back to the future revisited: A systematic literature review of performance-related pay in the public sector. *International Public Management Journal*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2024.2322139>
- Alsaqqaf, A. (2022). *The Effect of Performance Appraisal Process, and its Dimension Fairness, on Employees' Job Satisfaction*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4177322>
- Avery, D. R., Hall, A. V, Preston, M., Ruggs, E. N., & Washington, E. (2023). Is justice colorblind? A review of workplace racioethnic differences through the lens of organizational justice. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 10(1), 389–412.
- Awoitau, R., Noch, M. Y., & Khotimah, K. (2024). The Influence of Motivation, Training and Compensation on Employee Performance. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 2(3 SE-Articles), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v2i3.376>
- Bamberger, P. (2023). *Exposing pay: Pay transparency and what it means for employees, employers, and public policy*. Oxford University Press.
- Bana, W. M. (2019). Employee compensation and workplace diversity. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 7(1), 235–250. <http://www.ijern.com/journal/2019/January-2019/20.pdf>.
- Barasa, E., Mbau, R., & Gilson, L. (2018). What Is Resilience and How Can It Be Nurtured? A Systematic Review of Empirical Literature on Organizational Resilience. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 7(6), 491–503. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2018.06>
- Bertrand, M., & Mullainathan, S. (2004). Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination. *American Economic Review*, 94(4), 991–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1257/0002828042002561>
- Bin Saeed, B., Afsar, B., Shahjeha, A., & Imad Shah, S. (2019). Does transformational leadership foster innovative work behavior? The roles of psychological empowerment, intrinsic motivation, and creative process engagement. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 32(1), 254–281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2018.1556108>
- Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). The Gender Wage Gap: Extent, Trends, and Explanations. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 55(3), 789–865. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20160995>
- Boyd, J. J. (2017). *Voluntary Employee Turnover: Retaining High-Performing Healthcare Employees*. <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations/3931>
- Breza, E., Kaur, S., & Shamdasani, Y. (2018). The Morale Effects of Pay Inequality*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133(2), 611–663. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjx041>
- Brown, M., Nyberg, A. J., Weller, I., & Strizver, S. D. (2022). Pay information disclosure: Review and recommendations for research spanning the pay secrecy–pay transparency continuum. *Journal of Management*, 48(6), 1661–1694. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063221079249>
- Buttner, E. H., & Lowe, K. B. (2017). The relationship between perceived pay equity, productivity, and organizational commitment for US professionals of color. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 36(1), 73–89. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-02-2016-0016>
- Chigaga, B. (2024). Investigating the Diverse Factors Influencing Employee Motivation in the Fast-Food Sector in Zimbabwe: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Management*

Dynamics, 24(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.57198/2583-4932.1334>

- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self-determination in personality. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 19(2), 109–134.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2013). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Springer Science & Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-2271-7>
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. (2014). Burnout and job performance: the moderating role of selection, optimization, and compensation strategies. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 19(1), 96–107. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035062>
- Dube, A., Jacobs, J., Naidu, S., & Suri, S. (2020). Monopsony in Online Labor Markets. *American Economic Review: Insights*, 2(1), 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aeri.20180150>
- Gabelaia, I., & Bagociunaite, R. (2024). The Impact of “Quiet Quitting” on Overall Organizational Behavior and Culture BT - *Reliability and Statistics in Transportation and Communication* (I. Kabashkin, I. Yatskiv, & O. Prentkovskis (eds.); pp. 366–378). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-53598-7_33
- Gesiarz, F., De Neve, J.-E., & Sharot, T. (2020). The motivational cost of inequality: Opportunity gaps reduce the willingness to work. *Plos One*, 15(9), e0237914. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237914>
- Greenberg, J. (2018). Employee theft as a reaction to underpayment inequity: The hidden cost of pay cuts. In *occupational crime* (pp. 99–106). Routledge.
- Hart, T. A., David, P., Shao, F., Fox, C. J., & Westermann-Behaylo, M. (2015). An examination of the impact of executive compensation disparity on corporate social performance. *Strategic Organization*, 13(3), 200–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127015585103>
- Hartman, R. L., & Barber, E. G. (2020). Women in the workforce. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 35(1), 92–118. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-04-2019-0062>
- Hodson, N., Majid, M., Vlaev, I., & Singh, S. P. (2022). Can incentives improve antipsychotic adherence in major mental illness? A mixed-methods systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 12(6), e059526. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059526>
- Isebor, M. (2024). Navigating Typical Complexities in Business: Questionable Payments, Nepotism, and Unethical Financial Reporting BT - *Business Ethics in Africa, Volume I: Values, Profits and Responsibility* (S. U. Ogbu & R. Ogbechie (eds.); pp. 85–107). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-64427-6_6
- Jackson, J. F. L., & O'Callaghan, E. M. (2011). Understanding employment disparities using glass ceiling effects criteria: An examination of race/ethnicity and senior-level position attainment across the academic workforce. *Journal of the Professoriate*, 5(2).
- Kroll, A., & Porumbescu, G. A. (2019). When extrinsic rewards become “sour grapes”: An experimental study of adjustments in intrinsic and prosocial motivation. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 39(4), 467–486. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371X1915608419>
- Kwon, M., & Sonday, L. (2024). The moralization of intrinsic motivation: Opportunities and perils. *Academy of Management Review*, ja, amr-2021. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2021.0467>
- Lai, J., Ke, J., Lin, S., Zhang, P., & Zhang, Q. (2024). Business environment optimization and labor income share of enterprises: Evidence from China. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 71, 102491. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2024.102491>

- Lallukka, A. (2024). Gen Z & Millennials work-engagement, challenges & possibilities: the motivation explaining low engagement numbers among young employees. <https://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-202404247572>
- Lenzi, C., & Perucca, G. (2021). People or Places that Don't Matter? Individual and Contextual Determinants of the Geography of Discontent. *Economic Geography*, 97(5), 415–445. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00130095.2021.1973419>
- Li, Q., Lourie, B., Nekrasov, A., & Shevlin, T. (2022). Employee turnover and firm performance: Large-sample archival evidence. *Management Science*, 68(8), 5667–5683. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2021.4199>
- Mabindisa, T. J., & Legoabe, R. (2021). Factors Contributing To Low Employee Morale at a South African State Owned Entity in Financial Distress. *African Journal in Education and Transformation*, 1(2).
- Malik, A. R., & Singh, P. (2022). Outcomes of talent management: the role of perceived equity. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 44(2), 277–293. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-04-2020-0153>
- Manjenje, M., & Muhanga, M. (2021). *Financial and non-financial incentives best practices in work organisations: a critical review of literature*.
- Mardi, P., Djalalinia, S., Kargar, R., Jamee, M., Esmaeili Abdar, Z., & Qorbani, M. (2022). Impact of incentives on COVID-19 vaccination; A systematic review. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 9, 810323. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.810323>
- Matei, R., & Veith, C. (2023). Empowerment and Engagement: The Role of Autonomy and Feedback in Fostering Employee Motivation. *Manager Journal*, 37(1), 7–22. <https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:but:manage:v:37:y:2023:i:1:p:7-22>
- Monteiro, B., Santos, V., Reis, I., Sampaio, M. C., Sousa, B., Martinho, F., José Sousa, M., & Au-Yong-Oliveira, M. (2020). Employer branding applied to SMEs: A pioneering model proposal for attracting and retaining talent. *Information*, 11(12), 574. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info11120574>
- Mulang, H. (2023). Motivation and Compensation on Employee Performance. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 1(1 SE-Articles), 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v1i1.39>
- Ng, E. S., & Parry, E. (2016). Multigenerational Research in Human Resource Management. In *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management* (Vol. 34, pp. 1–41). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0742-730120160000034008>
- Nunes, P. M., Proença, T., & Carozzo-Todaro, M. E. (2024). A systematic review on well-being and ill-being in working contexts: contributions of self-determination theory. *Personnel Review*, 53(2), 375–419. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-11-2021-0812>
- Nuraini, B. (2023). *Employee Performance Optimization: The Synergy of Leadership and Compensation*. Asadel Publisher.
- Olafsen, A. H., Halvari, H., Forest, J., & Deci, E. L. (2015). Show them the money? The role of pay, managerial need support, and justice in a self-determination theory model of intrinsic work motivation. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 56(4), 447–457. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12211>
- Parastra, H. G., Wardi, Y., & Thaib, I. (2023). Kepemimpinan Transformasional, Motivasi Kerja dan Performa Kerja Karyawan; Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Management and Bussines (JOMB)*, 5(2), 1086–1093. <https://doi.org/10.31539/jomb.v5i2.6095>

- Pinkett, R. (2023). *Data-driven DEI: The tools and metrics you need to measure, analyze, and improve diversity, equity, and inclusion*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Qin, X., Huang, Y.-N., Hu, Z., Chen, K., Li, L., Wang, R. S., & Wang, B.-L. (2023). Human resource management research in healthcare: a big data bibliometric study. *Human Resources for Health*, 21(1), 94. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-023-00865-x>
- Robbins, J. M., Ford, M. T., & Tetrick, L. E. (2012). Perceived unfairness and employee health: a meta-analytic integration. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(2), 235. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025408>
- Rydström, K., Jackson, J., Johansson, K., & Mathiassen, S. E. (2023). A systematic review of work organization, work environment, and employment conditions in warehousing in relation to gender and race/ethnicity. *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, 67(4), 430–447. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annweh/wxac098>
- Shimonovich, M., Campbell, M., Thomson, R. M., Broadbent, P., Wells, V., Kopasker, D., McCARTNEY, G., Thomson, H., Pearce, A., & Katikireddi, S. V. (2024). Causal Assessment of Income Inequality on Self-Rated Health and All-Cause Mortality: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 102(1), 141–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12689>
- Shuck, B., Collins, J. C., Rocco, T. S., & Diaz, R. (2016). Deconstructing the privilege and power of employee engagement: Issues of inequality for management and human resource development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15(2), 208–229. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484316643904>
- Smulowitz, S. J., & Almandoz, J. ("John"). (2021). Reprint of "Predicting employee wrongdoing: The complementary effect of CEO option pay and the pay gap." *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 166, 104–116. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2021.02.007>
- Son Hing, L. S., Sakr, N., Sorenson, J. B., Stamarski, C. S., Caniera, K., & Colaco, C. (2023). Gender inequities in the workplace: A holistic review of organizational processes and practices. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(3), 100968. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2023.100968>
- Syafril, M. (2021). Promoting Equity and Inclusion through Public Policy: Strategies for Addressing Systemic Discrimination and Inequality. *Journal of Public Representative and Society Provision*, 1(3), 108–117. <https://doi.org/10.55885/jprsp.v1i3.238>
- Wahyuni, N. (2024). Applying Occupational Psychology Principles to Enhance Organizational Effectiveness. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 2(1 SE-Articles), 11–25. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v2i1.208>
- Z, N., Maryadi, M., Salim, M., & Kitta, S. (2024). The Relationship Between Employee Motivation, Creativity and Performance. *Paradoks : Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi*, 7(4 SE-Articles), 466–480. <https://doi.org/10.57178/paradoks.v7i4.991>