Analysis of the Role of Social Accounting in Addressing Income Inequality and Environmental Influences

Arlan Tahir [1*], Adriani Lande [2] Yana Ermawati [3] Siti Mariani Basannang [4] Junaedy [5]
tahirarlan87@gmail.com [1*]

Universitas Yapis Papua, Indonesia [1*,2,3,4,5]

Abstract

This study examines the role of social accounting in addressing income inequality and managing environmental impacts, highlighting its adaptability across diverse socio-economic contexts and its contribution to corporate social responsibility (CSR). Utilizing a systematic literature review, this study synthesizes insights from recent research to explore how flexible social accounting frameworks align with different regulatory and socio-economic environments. It assesses both theoretical foundations and practical applications. Legitimacy theory serves as a conceptual basis, framing social accounting as a tool for building public trust and enhancing corporate accountability. The findings suggest that social accounting effectively promotes economic and environmental transparency. Social accounting fosters greater public trust and aligns corporate actions with societal expectations by enabling companies to record and report wage distribution, employment practices, and environmental metrics. The study also underscores the importance of flexible social accounting frameworks that allow companies to adapt to local socio-economic conditions, especially in regions with resource constraints or unique regulatory environments. This study advances the understanding of social accounting's dual role as a reporting and strategic tool for sustainable development. Practically, it suggests that companies adopting adaptable social accounting frameworks can improve stakeholder relations, build credibility, and support long-term sustainability goals. These findings are relevant for corporate managers, policymakers, and future researchers interested in the impact of socially responsible practices on corporate reputation and public trust.

Keyword: *Social Accounting; Income Inequality; Environmental Impact; Corporate Social Responsibility; Legitimacy Theory.*

Introduction

Income inequality and environmental degradation have emerged as urgent issues within the contemporary socioeconomic landscape, presenting complex challenges threatening sustainable development. As the global economy expands and industrial activities intensify, balancing economic growth, social justice, and environmental health has become increasingly vital (Paehlke, 2003). On one hand, rising income inequality exacerbates social divides, limiting economic opportunities for marginalized groups and threatening long-term social cohesion. Conversely, unchecked economic expansion poses substantial environmental risks, such as increased carbon emissions and resource depletion, demanding corporate engagement in sustainability efforts. Within this context, social accounting has gained recognition as a comprehensive approach to evaluating, reporting, and managing corporate activities' social and environmental impacts, providing a holistic understanding of corporate contributions to sustainable development (Gray, 2019). Traditional financial reporting approaches often fail to capture corporate actions' broader social and environmental implications. Unlike conventional accounting, social accounting integrates non-financial metrics to assess corporate impacts on social welfare and environmental sustainability. This approach enhances transparency and enables stakeholders to evaluate how organizations contribute to or mitigate income inequality and ecological degradation (Ullah & Ali, 2024). By expanding corporate accountability in these areas, social accounting allows companies to engage more actively in sustainable development and align their strategies with the values and expectations of a socially conscious public.

The theoretical foundation for this study is legitimacy theory, which posits that organizations must align their actions with prevailing societal norms to maintain public trust and social acceptance. Such alignment is essential for organizations to gain and sustain legitimacy—a resource as vital as financial capital in today's trust-based economies (Deegan, 2019). Within social accounting, legitimacy theory views organizations as social entities responsible for generating economic returns and positively contributing to social welfare and environmental protection. Thus, social accounting bridges corporate objectives and societal expectations, enhancing transparency and fostering public trust in corporate contributions to social equity and ecological sustainability (Chu & Hoang, 2023). Applying legitimacy theory as an analytical foundation, this study explores how social accounting can serve as a mechanism to address income inequality and environmental issues by strengthening corporate accountability practices. Through this perspective, social accounting demonstrates its potential as a strategic tool for balancing economic, social, and ecological priorities within corporate governance structures.

Recent studies reveal a complex relationship between income inequality, environmental degradation, and sustainable development, with social and ecological accounting frameworks positioned as critical tools. For instance, Wang (2024) finds that renewable energy development can lessen the trade-off between income equality and environmental sustainability, suggesting that fair access to renewable resources benefits both. Meanwhile, Andersson (2024) observes that since the late 1980s, income inequality's impact on carbon emissions has shifted from negative to positive, indicating a growing environmental burden as inequality rises—a key consideration for policymakers. Castelo Branco et al. (2024) propose a social and ecological accounting framework based on human capabilities and global interests, critiquing traditional capital-oriented approaches by advocating universal human values. Furthermore, Chopra et al. (2024) emphasize the need for interdisciplinary expertise in ESG reporting, recommending adaptations in accounting systems to align with disclosure demands.

Other studies underscore the role of accounting in addressing sustainability challenges, with Chu & Hoang (2023) noting that income inequality's environmental impact varies by urbanization level, forming an inverted U-shaped relationship. In South Asia, income inequality and urbanization increase the ecological footprint, while political stability and renewable energy reduce it (Pata et al., 2022). Globally, reducing inequality often increases carbon footprints, although the effects on other indicators depend on initial inequality levels (Kopp & Nabernegg, 2022). Additionally, income inequality impacts pollution differently across income groups: it reduces emissions in high-income countries but worsens pollution in middle-income ones (Ehigiamusoe et al., 2022). These findings suggest that inequality, poverty, and political stability must be central to environmental policies for sustainable development. Supporting this, Chancel (2020) advocates for distributed national accounts to address inequality and environmental challenges, while Rodrigues et al. (2021) identify three main social accounting research areas—social disclosure, legitimacy vs. disclosure, and disclosure motivations—that offer critical insights for tackling sustainability issues such as climate change and carbon emissions.

Despite significant advancements, notable gaps persist between recent studies on social accounting and its practical and theoretical application in addressing income inequality and environmental sustainability. Much of the existing research highlights the potential of social accounting frameworks to provide a holistic approach to corporate responsibility; however, empirical studies validating these frameworks across diverse socioeconomic contexts remain limited. For instance, while Wang (2024) and Castelo Branco et al. (2024) underscore the relevance of renewable energy and global human values in mitigating income inequality and ecological degradation, they do not empirically address how social accounting frameworks could be universally applied to measure and influence these outcomes effectively across different national contexts. This gap underscores the need for further research to adapt and validate social accounting practices within varied socioeconomic settings to confirm their viability and scalability.

Social accounting studies have yet to fully explore how companies can achieve legitimacy through social and environmental disclosures. While legitimacy theory provides a foundation for understanding the link between corporate actions and societal expectations, recent studies (e.g., Andersson, 2024; Pata et al., 2022) primarily focus on descriptive analyses of income inequality and environmental impacts without examining how these disclosures specifically influence public trust or policy support. Additionally, studies like those by Kopp and Nabernegg (2022) and Ehigiamusoe et al. (2022) reveal that income inequality's environmental impact varies significantly based on income level and political stability. However, these findings lack a theoretical framework for understanding how different income groups and political contexts shape the reception and impact of social accounting practices. This leaves a gap in comprehending how corporations might effectively tailor social accounting strategies to varying economic and political landscapes. Addressing these empirical and theoretical gaps could advance the practical utility of social accounting and enhance its role as a tool for reducing inequality and promoting environmental sustainability on a global scale.

This study introduces a novel approach by systematically examining the role of social accounting in mitigating income inequality and addressing environmental challenges through a comprehensive Systematic Literature Review (SLR). Unlike prior research, which often assesses social accounting frameworks in isolation or limited socioeconomic contexts, this study seeks to bridge empirical and theoretical gaps by synthesizing insights across diverse economic and political settings. Focusing on the intersection of social accounting with income distribution and ecological impact, this research aims to reveal how social accounting can be leveraged as a strategic tool for sustainable development. The novelty lies in its integrative approach, combining legitimacy theory with empirical studies to explore how different socioeconomic conditions—such as varying levels of income inequality, political stability, and urbanization—affect the effectiveness and public perception of social accounting practices.

Building on the gaps identified in previous studies, this research addresses explicitly how social accounting frameworks can be adapted and validated to meet the unique needs of different nations, especially those with significant socioeconomic disparities. It explores how legitimacy theory might guide companies in designing social accounting disclosures that foster public trust, align with societal expectations, and enhance corporate accountability in social and environmental domains. Given the global challenges of generalizing social accounting practices, this study aims to provide actionable insights on tailoring these frameworks to diverse contexts to maximize their impact. This study's primary research question is: How can social accounting frameworks effectively address income inequality and environmental influences across varying socioeconomic contexts? This question is crucial for understanding how social accounting can reduce inequality and support environmental sustainability through a globally adaptable approach. By synthesizing findings from recent empirical studies, this SLR study seeks to clarify the role and potential of social accounting as an integral component of corporate social responsibility and sustainable development, offering a foundation for future research and practical applications in a diverse global set.

The Evolving Role of Social Accounting in Corporate Responsibility and Legitimacy Theory

The role of social accounting in corporate responsibility has advanced substantially as companies increasingly acknowledge the importance of aligning with societal expectations beyond financial performance. Central to this evolution is legitimacy theory, which offers a theoretical framework to understand how businesses establish and uphold public trust. According to legitimacy theory, companies must align their activities with societal norms to maintain legitimacy, an essential asset influencing public confidence, customer loyalty, and investor support (Suchman, 1995). Thus, Social accounting serves as a financial reporting tool and a means of transparently communicating a company's social and environmental impacts. As stakeholders increasingly expect corporations to demonstrate accountability in income distribution, labor practices, and environmental protection, social accounting becomes crucial for businesses seeking to align with these expectations (Bebbington et al., 2021). By disclosing their impacts in these areas, companies meet public expectations, securing legitimacy and enhancing their long-term viability.

The potential of social accounting to elevate corporate accountability is grounded in its ability to make a company's social and environmental contributions visible. Such transparency is precious in engaging a socially aware public and providing stakeholders with a comprehensive understanding of corporate activities beyond profitability (Parris et al., 2016). This transparency has become vital in supporting a company's reputation, particularly as public expectations have broadened to encompass social and environmental outcomes and financial success (Adams, 2022; Michelon et al., 2015). Social accounting, for instance, provides insight into areas like income distribution, resource consumption, and environmental footprint, establishing it as a critical tool for companies striving to balance economic and sustainable practices (La Torre et al., 2020). By clearly communicating these impacts, businesses can foster stronger stakeholder relationships and demonstrate their commitment to sustainability, reinforcing their accountability and alignment with public expectations (La Torre et al., 2019).

However, while legitimacy theory provides a solid theoretical foundation for emphasizing transparency and accountability, challenges remain in implementing social accounting practices effectively. Empirical studies show a gap between theoretical aspirations and practical outcomes; despite adopting social accounting frameworks, many companies struggle with the depth and substance of their disclosures. Research indicates that social accounting practices often remain superficial, failing to address significant issues such as social inequality and environmental sustainability due to inadequate structural frameworks (Baker et al., 2023). This shortfall reveals a gap where companies may practice social accounting mainly for compliance or reputation management rather than as a meaningful contribution to social and environmental welfare (Clune & O'Dwyer, 2020). This underscores the need for more rigorous social accounting frameworks that allow more profound engagement with issues of sustainability and equity, enabling companies to leverage social accounting as a genuine tool for positive impact.

Another critical aspect is the adaptation of social accounting practices to diverse socio-economic contexts. Practical social accounting requires flexibility and responsiveness to different conditions, including income inequality, political stability, and environmental challenges across countries. Research emphasizes the importance of tailoring social accounting frameworks to fit distinct socio-economic environments, enhancing the relevance and efficacy of social reporting practices (Freeman et al., 2020). Social accounting might need to focus more on addressing income distribution issues in regions with significant socio-economic disparities. At the same time, in more stable settings, the emphasis could shift to environmental accountability. This adaptability is essential to ensure that social accounting practices are transparent and pertinent to each community's unique social and ecological challenges. Such tailored approaches enable companies to meet local expectations better, strengthening public trust and enhancing legitimacy across varying contexts (Brown, 2008).

The Influence of Social Accounting on Income Inequality

Social accounting has become essential for companies seeking to measure and disclose their impact on income distribution, offering insights far beyond traditional financial metrics. Through social accounting, companies provide detailed information on profits and labor practices, wage distribution, and their economic contributions to local communities (Cerciello et al., 2023). This broader scope of reporting enables companies to showcase their commitment to social welfare and address income inequality, reinforcing corporate responsibility in the eyes of stakeholders. As society increasingly emphasizes transparency and accountability, social accounting elevates companies as social and economic inclusion agents, aligning their practices with broader societal goals (Huang & Watson, 2015). By openly reporting on income distribution and employee welfare, companies build trust with stakeholders and enhance corporate legitimacy, positioning themselves as organizations dedicated to tackling pressing social issues rather than solely generating profit (Peifer & Newman, 2020).

Despite its potential, the true impact of social accounting on income inequality remains inconsistent and, at times, fragmented. Although many companies have begun to adopt social accounting in their reporting practices, these efforts often lack the necessary depth and consistency to drive real change. Social accounting frequently becomes a means to fulfill regulatory requirements or boost corporate image rather than actively addressing income inequality (de Villiers & Sharma, 2020). For social accounting to effectively promote social equity, companies need robust frameworks and a genuine commitment to reducing disparities. Without such a foundation, social accounting risks becoming superficial, failing to produce the depth required for meaningful social impact (Gray, 2006). However, by studying cases where companies have successfully used social accounting to achieve fairer income distribution, it becomes clear that this approach can lead to significant improvements in social equity. These examples can guide other companies toward adopting more impactful practices, moving beyond compliance to initiatives that genuinely address income disparities and foster a more equitable economic landscape.

Adaptability to diverse socio-economic contexts is another critical dimension of social accounting. Income inequality varies significantly across regions due to urbanization, economic structure, and social dynamics. Consequently, a practical social accounting framework in one area may not yield the same results in another. Keuning (1996) highlights that the impact of corporate activities varies based on regional wage structures and levels of economic development, emphasizing the need to tailor social accounting practices to specific socio-economic conditions. An adaptive approach in social accounting ensures that companies address income disparities by incorporating regional factors into their reporting and analysis, thereby enhancing their social impact. Such flexibility allows companies to make their social contributions relevant to the community's specific issues, fostering trust and credibility in varied settings (Adams et al., 2016)

Social accounting is not merely a reporting mechanism but a strategic tool that can influence corporate policies related to income distribution. With transparent data on income inequality, companies can implement inclusive policies addressing wage structures, local economic empowerment, and employment opportunities. In this context, social accounting provides a framework for understanding and addressing how corporate practices affect income distribution and community welfare. Aligning business practices with socio-economic contexts enables companies to foster stronger relationships with local communities and reinforces their legitimacy as socially responsible organizations (Chelli et al., 2019). Corporations that use social accounting to inform policy development can make a more significant positive impact, moving beyond mere compliance and reputation management to make substantive contributions toward social equity (Schaltegger et al., 2019).

Environmental Accountability through Social Accounting: Managing Ecological Impact

To understand environmental accountability through social accounting, it is essential first to examine how companies use social accounting to measure and disclose their ecological impact. Social accounting has increasingly evolved to encompass environmental metrics, enabling companies to assess factors such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, and overall resource use. By adopting these measures, companies can demonstrate transparency and signal their commitment to responsible environmental stewardship, an expectation held by society and stakeholders (Stubbs et al., 2018). This approach empowers companies to identify and mitigate adverse environmental impacts, providing a framework that links corporate activities to potential environmental harm. In this capacity, social accounting serves as a foundational mechanism that allows companies to pinpoint areas needing ecological improvement and to take concrete steps toward sustainability (Qian et al., 2021).

However, while transparency in environmental reporting is a necessary component of accountability, studies suggest that transparency alone is insufficient to drive significant changes in corporate environmental practices. Soltani et al. (2021) indicate that the effectiveness of social accounting in reducing environmental impacts is frequently influenced by regional factors such as political stability, income levels, and access to renewable energy. Companies operating in politically stable regions may find it easier to establish long-term sustainability initiatives than those in politically volatile areas. Therefore, a practical social accounting framework should extend beyond reporting by linking environmental disclosures with internal policies that support sustainable, long-term practices. Specific measures, such as improving resource efficiency and adopting renewable energy, can help companies minimize their environmental footprint (Clune & O'Dwyer, 2020). Integrating comprehensive environmental performance indicators is essential to enhance social accounting as a tool for environmental accountability. These indicators should encompass carbon emissions, energy use, and areas such as waste management, water usage, and biodiversity conservation (Dong & Hauschild, 2017). By including such indicators, companies can ensure their reports go beyond regulatory compliance, demonstrating concrete progress toward sustainability targets. Furthermore, including detailed environmental metrics encourages companies to continually assess and refine their environmental policies, aligning them more closely with sustainable business principles. In doing so, a more integrative approach to social accounting can drive internal policy shifts, urging companies to advance beyond minimal compliance to a more genuine commitment to sustainability (Camilleri, 2017).

The variability in environmental impacts and a company's capacity to mitigate them often depends on the socio-economic and political context in which it operates. Adapting social accounting frameworks to reflect diverse regional conditions is vital for ensuring the framework's relevance and effectiveness. For example, companies operating in countries with significant income inequality or political instability may encounter more obstacles in implementing thorough sustainability practices than those in more stable environments ((Martínez-Ferrero & García-Sánchez, 2017)). This review underscores that adaptable social accounting frameworks can address these challenges by incorporating regional differences, enabling companies to make the most meaningful environmental impact in their respective settings. Regional adjustments also foster trust with local communities, who may view the company's efforts as more genuine and responsive to their unique circumstances (Zighan et al., 2024).

The Global Adaptability of Social Accounting Frameworks in Diverse Socio-Economic Contexts

Understanding the adaptability of social accounting frameworks across various socio-economic conditions is essential for effective implementation on a global scale. Social accounting is increasingly recognized as a powerful tool for advancing corporate social responsibility (CSR), providing companies with a structured approach to assessing and communicating their social and environmental impacts (Chung & Cho, 2018). While significant research has explored how social accounting promotes CSR, relatively few studies address how these frameworks can be tailored to meet the diverse needs of distinct socio-economic contexts, particularly in contrasting environments like developed and developing nations. In developing countries, the resources and infrastructure necessary for comprehensive social accounting practices are often limited compared to those available in developed countries (Qian et al., 2021). This contrast underscores the need for flexible and adaptable frameworks that allow social accounting to remain feasible and impactful even in resource-constrained settings.

One of the primary challenges in the global implementation of social accounting frameworks lies in the limited cross-border applicability of models designed with a single-country focus. Research indicates that while some frameworks may perform effectively in high-income countries with robust infrastructure, they may fall short in regions with limited financial and technological resources. For instance, Torras & Boyce (1998) advocate for distributed national accounts to tackle a country's income inequality and environmental challenges. While this approach may prove effective in wealthy nations, it could pose significant challenges in low-income settings where infrastructure for advanced accounting practices is not readily available. This literature review thus considers how social accounting frameworks can be adapted to address the unique needs of countries at different income levels, offering solutions that are scalable and accessible despite varying degrees of resource availability (Christensen et al., 2019). Modular and flexible frameworks are essential to Modular to maximize social accounting's effectiveness across diverse settings. A modular approach allows companies to customize their disclosures based on specific regional challenges, ensuring that social accounting practices are practical and applicable within local contexts. For example, studies by Tiwari & Khan (2020) highlight how modular social accounting frameworks enable companies to adjust their reporting practices to reflect regional priorities, such as resource limitations or sustainability goals. By adopting modular frameworks, companies can focus on the most relevant components without overextending their resources, making social accounting feasible and efficient in different socio-economic contexts (Bart Aswain et al., 2024).

The adaptability of social accounting frameworks improves and supports the broader goals of sustainable development by aligning with local needs and priorities (Bowen et al., 2017). When companies have the flexibility to tailor their social accounting practices to local contexts, they can ensure that these practices not only adhere to global standards but also address the sustainability challenges specific to each region. This adaptability positions social accounting as a strategic tool in advancing social equity and environmental sustainability. In countries with high levels of inequality, customized frameworks can facilitate more equitable income distribution and encourage sustainable resource use, fostering greater social cohesion and environmental responsibility (Leal Filho et al., 2019). Adaptable social accounting frameworks are vital in strengthening relationships with local communities. When companies align their social accounting practices with local needs and concerns, community members will likely view corporate initiatives as genuine and advantageous. This alignment enhances trust and boosts the perceived legitimacy of the company, positioning it as a socially responsible entity that prioritizes issues of importance to the community (Jamali & Karam, 2018). In an era where stakeholders are increasingly attuned to businesses' social and environmental responsibilities, such a tailored approach helps organizations establish themselves as proactive agents of positive change and committed participants in global sustainability efforts.

Analysis Method

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology. The SLR approach is particularly suited to identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing existing research on the adaptability of social accounting frameworks across diverse socio-economic contexts. By following a systematic process, the SLR ensures that the literature review is comprehensive, objective, and transparent, providing a structured and replicable approach to understanding the complexities within this field.

Sample Population or Subject of the Research

The subject of this research comprises academic articles, peer-reviewed journals, and credible reports focused on social accounting frameworks and their adaptability in different socio-economic environments. The selection criteria target studies published primarily between 2014 and the present, ensuring the inclusion of recent developments and contemporary perspectives on social accounting. Relevant databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, serve as sources for gathering literature on the topic. Articles were selected based on their relevance to social accounting, corporate social responsibility, and adaptability within various economic and political settings.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data collection involved a systematic search and retrieval of literature guided by predefined keywords such as "social accounting adaptability," "socio-economic context," "corporate social responsibility," and "sustainability." Boolean operators were used to refine search results and focus on studies that specifically address the global adaptability of social accounting frameworks. The selected studies were filtered through inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure relevance and quality. The data extraction involved summarizing, coding, and categorizing each study's essential findings and theoretical frameworks.

Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis followed a thematic synthesis approach, which involved identifying, coding, and categorizing themes across the selected literature. Each study's findings were examined for patterns and insights, particularly those related to the adaptability and effectiveness of social accounting frameworks in different socio-economic settings. The synthesis process highlighted recurring themes and emergent concepts contributing to understanding the barriers, flexibility, and potential impact of adaptable social accounting frameworks. The thematic synthesis approach ensured a comprehensive and coherent presentation of data, offering a solid foundation for discussing implications and future research directions.

Results and Discussion

Results

The role of social accounting in addressing income inequality is a central finding of this study, underscoring how companies can leverage it as a tool for transparency in income distribution and a means to mitigate economic disparities. Social accounting frameworks enable companies to report comprehensively on wage distribution, employment practices, and financial contributions to local communities (Cerciello et al., 2023). By embracing transparency in these areas, companies comply with regulatory standards and cultivate a socially responsible image that demonstrates a commitment to social welfare (Chancel, 2020). This approach becomes even more critical in countries with high-income disparities, where transparent reporting can help alleviate public concerns about income gaps, simultaneously positioning companies as contributors to local economic development. In this way, social accounting functions beyond mere financial disclosure, offering a strategic approach to addressing critical social issues, such as income inequality, across various economic landscapes (Castelo Branco et al., 2024).

In addition to income inequality, social accounting plays a significant role in environmental accountability, highlighting corporate responsibilities in managing ecological impacts. Integrating environmental metrics like resource usage and carbon emissions enables companies to comprehensively track and report their ecological footprints (Pata et al., 2022). Findings suggest that companies with robust social accounting practices are better equipped to balance economic growth with environmental stewardship. Companies can pinpoint improvement areas through systematic reporting on indicators such as carbon emissions, water use, and waste management, thus promoting more sustainable business practices (Andersson, 2024). This framework extends companies' commitments to sustainability, enhancing their reputation while contributing positively to global environmental goals (Bebbington et al., 2021).

Considering the diverse socio-economic contexts worldwide, this study emphasizes the importance of flexibility within social accounting frameworks to meet varied local needs. Countries face unique socio-economic conditions, and social accounting frameworks must be adaptable to ensure relevance and practicality (Rodrigues et al., 2021). Findings indicate that rigid frameworks can be challenging to implement, particularly in regions with limited resources or high-income inequality, where socioeconomic structures and political stability vary significantly (Parker et al., 2020). Adaptable frameworks allow companies to tailor their social accounting practices to local needs and challenges, facilitating alignment with regional priorities while maintaining global reporting standards. This flexibility extends to selecting key performance indicators, prioritizing socio-economic objectives, and aligning with local regulations. As such, adaptable frameworks in social accounting are not just compliance tools but strategies for achieving relevant social and environmental outcomes suited to the socio-economic context in which a company operates (Freeman et al., 2019).

This study also highlights how legitimacy theory underpins the design and implementation of social accounting frameworks. According to legitimacy theory, companies gain public trust by acting in ways that align with societal norms and expectations, thereby securing a form of "license to operate" in the eyes of the public (Suchman, 1995). In the context of social accounting, legitimacy theory offers valuable guidance for companies aiming to foster public trust through transparent disclosures that meet societal expectations for social and environmental accountability. Grounding social accounting practices in legitimacy theory emphasizes transparency and accountability as vital for maintaining stakeholder trust and engagement (Unerman & Zappettini, 2021). Therefore, social accounting transcends simple reporting; it operates as a platform through which companies demonstrate their commitment to societal values. This alignment reflects shared social priorities and environmental responsibilities, reinforcing that social accounting is about corporate responsibility and actively fostering a reciprocal relationship with the community (O'Dwyer & Unerman, 2020).

Despite the potential of social accounting to support sustainable development, implementing these practices globally presents unique challenges. Cross-border implementation of social accounting frameworks encounters obstacles due to diverse socio-economic conditions, cultural norms, and regulatory landscapes. Findings show that companies often struggle to standardize social accounting practices internationally, particularly when faced with significant differences in accounting infrastructure and regulatory requirements (Christensen et al., 2019). For instance, adhering to complex social accounting standards designed for resource-abundant regions can be prohibitive in areas with underdeveloped accounting infrastructures (Chu & Hoang, 2023). Therefore, this study advocates for modular, adaptable social accounting frameworks that allow companies to implement the components most relevant to their specific socio-economic contexts without overextending their resources. Such an approach promotes effective social accounting practices across diverse settings and ensures they retain their intended impact and value across global contexts (Brown, 2008).

Discussion

This discussion provides a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of social accounting in addressing income inequality and managing environmental impacts, emphasizing the adaptability of social accounting frameworks across different socio-economic contexts. The study reveals that social accounting is a powerful tool for promoting economic justice, particularly by fostering transparency in income distribution. Social accounting elevates corporate transparency by enabling companies to systematically record and disclose detailed information on wage distribution, employment practices, and other economic contributions to local communities. This transparency enhances not only the credibility of companies but also strengthens public perceptions that organizations are genuinely committed to social welfare and economic equity. Stakeholders, particularly in local communities, are more likely to support companies that demonstrate a strong commitment to fair income distribution, and these companies are often seen as better aligned with corporate social responsibility (CSR) principles. Implementing a social accounting framework focused on equitable income distribution can thus serve as a foundation for companies to build meaningful relationships with stakeholders concerned with worker welfare and economic disparity. This approach aligns with the CSR expectation that companies should prioritize their social impact alongside profitability, highlighting the dual goals of economic and social responsibility.

Regarding environmental impact management, social accounting provides companies with an invaluable framework to assess, measure, and report their environmental footprint transparently and accountable. By disclosing critical environmental indicators—such as carbon emissions, energy usage, and waste management—companies can improve transparency and communicate their commitment to sustainability. The findings from this study underscore the role of social accounting in promoting long-term environmental sustainability, especially as public awareness of environmental issues continues to grow. As companies disclose these environmental metrics in their social accounting reports, they actively build public trust by showing tangible efforts to manage natural resources responsibly and mitigate adverse ecological impacts. Detailed reporting of environmental performance allows companies to be seen as responsible stewards of the environment, which enhances their image among environmentally conscious consumers and investors. Moreover, the data generated through social accounting practices facilitates ongoing evaluations of a company's environmental performance, providing essential insights into areas for operational improvement. This information allows companies to make data-driven decisions, such as reducing their carbon footprint or increasing energy efficiency, ultimately contributing to their sustainability goals and reinforcing their reputation as environmentally responsible entities.

A critical factor in the successful implementation of social accounting is the adaptability of its frameworks to diverse socio-economic conditions across various regions. Countries and regions differ significantly in income inequality levels, political stability, and regulatory environments, influencing how social accounting frameworks are applied. In many developing countries, limited resources and underdeveloped accounting infrastructure present additional challenges for companies seeking to adopt rigid social accounting frameworks, which may not align with local capabilities or socio-economic priorities. The study highlights that flexibility within social accounting frameworks is essential for companies to modify their approaches in response to regional challenges. This adaptability allows companies to select the most pertinent indicators, concentrate on local socio-economic needs, and comply with existing regulations. For instance, in regions with significant income inequality, companies can leverage social accounting to produce more detailed measurements and reports on employee wage distribution, demonstrating their dedication to economic fairness. Conversely, in regions where political stability is a concern, companies may need to prioritize sustainability metrics to support stable, long-term operations. This flexibility in social accounting frameworks enables companies to tailor their practices effectively, maximizing their social and environmental impact while aligning with the specific needs and expectations of the communities they serve.

Legitimacy theory offers a crucial framework for understanding the impact of social accounting on corporate accountability and public trust. This theory suggests that a company's legitimacy is established when its actions reflect the values and expectations of society, signaling a commitment to social responsibility. In social accounting, legitimacy theory implies that companies can gain public trust by transparently disclosing their social and environmental impacts. Such transparency meets regulatory requirements and aligns with society's growing expectations for corporate responsibility in areas like income distribution, environmental sustainability, and social equity. When companies use social accounting to highlight their economic and environmental contributions, they enhance public perception of their commitment to addressing broader social concerns. By focusing on these impacts, companies are seen as proactive in supporting societal well-being, reinforcing their credibility and reputation. Employing legitimacy theory as a guiding principle in designing and implementing social accounting practices enables companies to build stronger relationships with stakeholders, demonstrating accountability and alignment with public values. This alignment with societal expectations becomes a strategic asset, positioning the company as a socially responsible entity and securing continued public support. Ultimately, legitimacy theory underscores the importance of transparent social accounting, not only as a reporting tool but also as a means of fostering trust and achieving a sustainable, positive reputation in the eyes of stakeholders.

The findings of this study align with several recent studies highlighting the beneficial role of social accounting frameworks in corporate social responsibility, particularly in addressing income inequality and environmental sustainability. For instance, Wang (2024) suggests that renewable energy development could balance income equality and environmental sustainability, indicating that access to renewable resources benefits multiple sustainability dimensions. This aligns with our study's findings that social accounting can serve as a framework to bridge corporate and societal priorities. Similarly, Castelo Branco et al. (2024) propose a social and environmental accounting framework based on global human values, critiquing traditional capital-oriented approaches by emphasizing human-centered metrics in social accounting. Our study further supports this view by demonstrating that a flexible, human-capability-focused framework can adapt to various socio-economic contexts, promoting broader societal welfare while still serving organizational needs. Additionally, Andersson (2024) observed that since the late 1980s, income inequality has increasingly impacted carbon emissions, signaling that environmental and social impacts are closely tied to corporate actions. This perspective resonates with our findings on the integrated role of social accounting in addressing these dual challenges.

The study's findings emphasize the importance of contextual adaptation, aligning with insights from Chu and Hoang (2023), who found that income inequality's environmental impact varies with urbanization levels and is subject to an inverted U-shaped relationship. Pata et al. (2022) similarly observed that income inequality and urbanization exacerbate the ecological footprint in South Asia, while political stability and renewable energy lessen it. This aligns with our study's emphasis on flexible and adaptable social accounting frameworks to different socio-economic landscapes, highlighting the need for specific regional approaches. Furthermore, Kopp and Nabernegg (2022) and Ehigiamusoe et al. (2022) demonstrate that the environmental impact of income inequality differs across income groups, suggesting that effective accounting frameworks should consider local economic conditions to foster sustainability. By supporting contextual adaptability in social accounting frameworks, this study provides evidence for tailored approaches to income and environmental challenges, reflecting broader findings in the literature that adaptable frameworks best support sustainable development goals across diverse global contexts.

The practical implications of this study are substantial for companies aiming to enhance their roles in promoting social equity and environmental sustainability. The findings suggest that social accounting is a tool for reporting social and environmental impacts and a strategic asset for informed decision-making. Companies can more effectively meet stakeholders' unique needs and expectations across different regions by adopting a flexible and adaptive social accounting framework. This adaptability is particularly valuable in responding to diverse socio-economic contexts, allowing companies to address local challenges such as income disparity or environmental concerns with greater relevance and impact. Furthermore, by aligning their reporting practices with regional priorities, companies can foster stronger relationships with local communities, build trust, and enhance public perceptions of their commitment to corporate responsibility. In the long term, this strategic approach can secure more excellent community support, thus improving corporate reputation, stakeholder engagement, and overall business performance while advancing sustainability goals. Consequently, the study underscores the value of social accounting in accountability and transparency and as a driver of sustainable and socially responsible business growth.

Conclusion and Suggestion

This study provides a comprehensive examination of the role of social accounting in addressing income inequality and environmental challenges, emphasizing the importance of transparency, adaptability, and contextual relevance. By exploring how social accounting frameworks can enhance corporate accountability through income distribution transparency and environmental impact reporting, the study sheds light on the potential for social accounting to support economic and ecological equity across diverse socio-economic contexts. The research demonstrates that flexible, context-sensitive social accounting practices allow companies to align more effectively with regional challenges, thus positioning themselves as socially responsible entities within varying operational landscapes.

The value of this research lies in its original approach to integrating legitimacy theory with social accounting, offering a framework that serves both scientific and practical needs. This study advances knowledge of social accounting's dual function as a reporting tool and a strategic instrument for sustainable development, emphasizing that social accounting must be tailored to meet diverse regulatory, economic, and environmental demands. This research suggests that organizations can strengthen stakeholder relationships, build public trust, and enhance corporate reputation by adopting adaptable social accounting frameworks. From a managerial perspective, the study implies that companies integrating social accounting into their operations can achieve a competitive edge by aligning their practices with growing social and environmental expectations among stakeholders.

Despite these contributions, the study faces several limitations. First, the research is based on a systematic literature review, which, while comprehensive, is limited by available studies and published data on social accounting practices. Future research could explore empirical studies to validate the adaptability and impact of social accounting in specific sectors or regions, further testing the practical application of these frameworks in varied socio-economic environments. Additionally, since social accounting practices and regulations are rapidly evolving, longitudinal studies could offer insights into how these frameworks adapt over time and across different socio-political contexts. For future researchers and practitioners, this study underscores the need for continued exploration of social accounting's impact on organizational legitimacy and its potential to foster sustainable, socially responsible business practices across the globe.

Reference

Adams, C. A. (2022). Accounting and sustainability: an introduction. In *Handbook of accounting and sustainability* (pp. 1–8). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800373518.00006

Adams, C. A., Potter, B., Singh, P. J., & York, J. (2016). Exploring the implications of integrated reporting for social investment (disclosures). *The British Accounting Review*, *48*(3), 283–296. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2016.05.002

Andersson, F. N. G. (2024). Economic inequality and the ecological footprint: Time-varying estimates for four developed economies, 1962–2021. *Ecological Economics*, *220*, 108185. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2024.108185

Baker, M., Gray, R., & Schaltegger, S. (2023). Debating accounting and sustainability: from incompatibility to rapprochement in the pursuit of corporate sustainability. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *36*(2), 591–619. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-04-2022-5773

Bart Aswain, I. E., Ahmad Ludin, N., Jarimi, H., Purvis-Robert, K. L., Hamid, N. H., Mohd Shariff, M. Z., & Burke, J. (2024). Harmonizing business practices of events and convention industry through sustainability assessment framework development. *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption*, *15*, 100226. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clrc.2024.100226

Bebbington, J., Larrinaga, C., O'Dwyer, B., & Thomson, I. (2021). *Routledge handbook of environmental accounting*. Routledge London.

Bowen, K. J., Cradock-Henry, N. A., Koch, F., Patterson, J., Häyhä, T., Vogt, J., & Barbi, F. (2017). Implementing the "Sustainable Development Goals": towards addressing three key governance challenges—collective action, trade-offs, and accountability. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *26–27*, 90–96. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2017.05.002

Brown, L. D. (2008). *Creating credibility: Legitimacy and accountability for transnational civil society*. Kumarian Press.

Camilleri, M. A. (2017). Corporate sustainability, social responsibility and environmental management. *Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46849-5

Castelo Branco, M. C., Gomes, D., & Martins, A. (2024). An institutionalist political-economy perspective on social and environmental accounting. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, *32*(5), 1641–1661. https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-12-2023-2248

Cerciello, M., Busato, F., & Taddeo, S. (2023). The effect of sustainable business practices on profitability. Accounting for strategic disclosure. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *30*(2), 802–819. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2389

Chancel, L. (2020). Towards distributional national and environmental accounts. *Statistical Journal of the IAOS*, *36*(3), 597–605. https://doi.org/10.3233/SJI-200689

Chelli, M., Durocher, S., & Fortin, A. (2019). Substantive and symbolic strategies sustaining the environmentally friendly ideology. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *32*(4), 1013–1042. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-02-2018-3343

Chopra, S. S., Senadheera, S. S., Dissanayake, P. D., Withana, P. A., Chib, R., Rhee, J. H., & Ok, Y. S. (2024). Navigating the Challenges of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Reporting: The Path to Broader Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, *16*(2), 606. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020606

Christensen, H. B., Hail, L., & Leuz, C. (2019). *Adoption of CSR and sustainability reporting standards: Economic analysis and review* (Vol. 623). National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge, MA, USA.

Chu, L. K., & Hoang, D. P. (2023). The interaction of income inequality and urbanization in affecting environmental quality: International evidence. *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*, *30*(2), 215–241. https://doi.org/10.1080/14486563.2023.2224749

Chung, J., & Cho, C. H. (2018). Current trends within social and environmental accounting research: A literature review. *Accounting Perspectives*, *17*(2), 207–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3838.12171

Clune, C., & O'Dwyer, B. (2020). Framing Engagement that Resonates: Organizing Advocacy for Corporate Social and Environmental Accountability. *European Accounting Review*, *29*(5), 851–875. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2020.1746374

de Villiers, C., & Sharma, U. (2020). A critical reflection on the future of financial, intellectual capital, sustainability and integrated reporting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, *70*, 101999. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2017.05.003

Deegan, C. M. (2019). Legitimacy theory: Despite its enduring popularity and contribution, time is right for a necessary makeover. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *32*(8), 2307–2329. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-08-2018-3638

Dong, Y., & Hauschild, M. Z. (2017). Indicators for Environmental Sustainability. *Procedia CIRP*, *61*, 697–702. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.11.173

Ehigiamusoe, K. U., Majeed, M. T., & Dogan, E. (2022). The nexus between poverty, inequality and environmental pollution: evidence across different income groups of countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *341*, 130863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130863

Freeman, R. E., Phillips, R., & Sisodia, R. (2020). Tensions in stakeholder theory. *Business & Society*, *59*(2), 213–231. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650318773

Gray, R. (2006). Social, environmental and sustainability reporting and organisational value creation? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *19*(6), 793–819. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570610709872

Gray, R. (2019). Towards an ecological accounting: Tensions and possibilities in social and environmental accounting. *Intrinsic Capability*, 53–69.

Huang, X. '"Beryl,"' & Watson, L. (2015). Corporate social responsibility research in accounting. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, *34*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acclit.2015.03.001

Jamali, D., & Karam, C. (2018). Corporate social responsibility in developing countries as an emerging field of study. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, *20*(1), 32–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12112

Keuning, S. J. (1996). *Accounting for economic development and social change*. IOS Press.

Kopp, T., & Nabernegg, M. (2022). Inequality and Environmental Impact – Can the Two Be Reduced Jointly? *Ecological Economics*, *201*, 107589. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2022.107589

La Torre, M., Bernardi, C., Guthrie, J., & Dumay, J. (2019). *Integrated Reporting and Integrating Thinking: Practical Challenges BT - Challenges in Managing Sustainable Business: Reporting, Taxation, Ethics and Governance* (S. Arvidsson (ed.); pp. 25–54). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93266-8_2

La Torre, M., Sabelfeld, S., Blomkvist, M., & Dumay, J. (2020). Rebuilding trust: sustainability and non-financial reporting and the European Union regulation. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, *28*(5), 701–725. https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-06-2020-0914

Leal Filho, W., Tripathi, S. K., Andrade Guerra, J. B. S. O. D., Giné-Garriga, R., Orlovic Lovren, V., & Willats, J. (2019). Using the sustainable development goals towards a better understanding of sustainability challenges. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, *26*(2), 179–190. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2018.1505674

Martínez-Ferrero, J., & García-Sánchez, I. (2017). Sustainability assurance and cost of capital: Does assurance impact on credibility of corporate social responsibility information? *Business Ethics: A European Review*, *26*(3), 223–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12152

Michelon, G., Pilonato, S., & Ricceri, F. (2015). CSR reporting practices and the quality of disclosure: An empirical analysis. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, *33*, 59–78. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2014.10.003

Paehlke, R. (2003). *Democracy's dilemma: environment, social equity, and the global economy*. MIT Press.

Parris, D. L., Dapko, J. L., Arnold, R. W., & Arnold, D. (2016). Exploring transparency: a new framework for responsible business management. *Management Decision*, *54*(1), 222–247. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-07-2015-0279

Pata, U. K., Yilanci, V., Hussain, B., & Naqvi, S. A. A. (2022). Analyzing the role of income inequality and political stability in environmental degradation: evidence from South Asia. *Gondwana Research*, *107*, 13–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2022.02.009

Peifer, J. L., & Newman, D. T. (2020). Making the business case for corporate social responsibility and perceived trustworthiness: A cross-stakeholder analysis. *Business and Society Review*, *125*(2), 161–181. https://doi.org/10.1111/basr.12210

Qian, W., Tilt, C., & Belal, A. (2021). Social and environmental accounting in developing countries: contextual challenges and insights. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *34*(5), 1021–1050. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-03-2021-5172

Rodrigues, M., do Céu Alves, M., Oliveira, C., Vale, V., Vale, J., & Silva, R. (2021). Dissemination of social accounting information: A bibliometric review. *Economies*, *9*(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies9010041

Schaltegger, S., Hörisch, J., & Freeman, R. E. (2019). Business cases for sustainability: A stakeholder theory perspective. *Organization & Environment*, *32*(3), 191–212. https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026617722882

Soltani, M., Moradi Kashkooli, F., Souri, M., Rafiei, B., Jabarifar, M., Gharali, K., & Nathwani, J. S. (2021). Environmental, economic, and social impacts of geothermal energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *140*, 110750. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.110750

Stubbs, W., Higgins, C., & Higgins, C. (2018). Stakeholders ' Perspectives on the Role of Regulatory Reform in Integrated Reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *147*(3), 489–508. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2954-0

Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, *20*(3), 571–610. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080331

Tiwari, K., & Khan, M. S. (2020). Sustainability accounting and reporting in the industry 4.0. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *258*, 120783. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120783

Torras, M., & Boyce, J. K. (1998). Income, inequality, and pollution: a reassessment of the environmental Kuznets Curve. *Ecological Economics*, *25*(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(97)00177-8

Ullah, A., & Ali, A. (2024). Investigating Corruption, Income Inequality, and Environmental Degradation in Pakistan: A Time Series Analysis. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options* , *7*(1 SE-Articles), 9–18. http://resdojournals.com/index.php/JEEPO/article/view/344

Wang, J. (2024). Renewable energy, inequality and environmental degradation. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *356*, 120563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.120563

Zighan, S., Dwaikat, N. Y., Alkalha, Z., & Abualqumboz, M. (2024). Knowledge management for supply chain resilience in pharmaceutical industry: evidence from the Middle East region. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, *35*(4), 1142–1167. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-05-2022-0215